Harmony 1.7 – Athanasius' Early Career/Continued Arian controversy (325-337)

Copyright 2016 Glen L. Thompson

This document is provided for personal and educational use. It may not be used for commercial purposes without the permission of the copyright holder. Last updated 2/22/17

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
Born c. 296 - Athanasius' youth		
	2.17.6 It is said that the following incident occurred to Athanasius in his youth. It was the custom of the Alexandrians to celebrate with great pomp an annual festival in honor of one of their bishops named Peter, who had suffered martyrdom. Alexander, who then conducted the church, engaged in the celebration of this festival. After completing the worship, he remained on the spot, awaiting the arrival of some guests whom he expected to breakfast.	
 1.15.1b Rufinus writes that when Athanasius was a boy, he played with others of his own age at a sacred game. This was an imitation of the priesthood and the order of consecrated persons. 1.15.2 In this game Athanasius was given the episcopal chair, and each of the other lads played either a presbyter or a deacon. The children engaged in this sport on the day in which the memory of the martyr and bishop Peter was celebrated. 		
1.15.3a Now at that time Alexander bishop of Alexandria happened to pass by, observed the play in which they were engaged, and sent for the children. He asked them the part each had been assigned in the game, thinking that something might be predicted by this game. He then gave directions that the children should be taken to the church and instructed in learning, especially Athanasius.	2.17.7 In the meantime he chanced to cast his eyes towards the sea and perceived some children playing on the shore, amusing themselves by imitating the bishop and the ceremonies of the Church. At first he considered the mimicry as innocent and took pleasure in witnessing it; but when they touched upon the unutterable, he was troubled and communicated the matter to the chief of the clergy. The children were called together and questioned as to the game at which they were playing and as to what they did and said when engaged in this amusement. 2.17.8 At first they through fear denied; but when Alexander threatened them with torture, they confessed that Athanasius was their bishop and leader, and that	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.15.3b After Alexander ordained Athanasius as deacon when he was of adult age, Alexander brought him to Nicaea to assist him in the disputations there when the Council was convened. 1.15.4 Rufinus has given this account of Athanasius in his own writings; It is not improbable that it took place, for many transactions of this kind have often occurred. The above matter has sufficiently been covered.	many children who had not been initiated had been baptized by him. 2.17.9 Alexander carefully inquired what the priest of their play was in the habit of saying or doing and what they answered or were taught. When he found that the exact routine of the Church had been accurately observed by them, he consulted the priests around him on the subject and decided that it would he unnecessary to rebaptize those who, in their simplicity, had been judged worthy of the Divine grace. He therefore merely performed for them such offices as it is lawful only for those who are consecrated to initiating the mysteries. 2.17.10 He then took Athanasius and the other children, who had playfully acted as presbyters and deacons, to their own relations under God as a witness that they might be brought up for the Church and for leadership in what they had imitated. Not long after he took Athanasius as his table companion and secretary. He had been well educated and was versed in grammar and rhetoric. Already when was of adult age and before he attained the bishopric, he gave proof to those talking with him that he was a man of wisdom and intellectuality	1.26.1b Trained from his youth in sacred studies, Athanasius had attracted general admiration in each ecclesiastical office that he filled.
	325 - Notable bishops arrive for council	
1.8.13 Many of the laity who were present at Nicaea were also practiced in the art of reasoning, and each was eager to advocate the cause of his own party. Eusebius, bishop of Nicomedia, as was before said, supported the opinion of Arius, together with Theognis and Maris. Of these the former was bishop of Nicaea, and Maris was bishop of Chalcedon in Bithynia. These two were powerfully opposed by Athnasius, a deacon of the Alexandrian church, who was highly esteemed by Alexander his bishop. Because of that he was much envied, as will be seen hereafter.	1.17.7 Many of the bishops who were then assembled, and the clergy who accompanied them, were noticeable for their remarkable dialectic skill and rhetorical methods, attracting the notice of the emperor and the court. Of that number Athanasius, who was then a deacon of Alexandria and had accompanied his bishop Alexander, seemed to have the largest share in the counsel concerning these subjects.	1.26.2 He had at the general council so defended the doctrines of the apostles that, while he won the approbation of all the champions of the truth, its opponents learned to look on their antagonist as a personal foe and public enemy. 1.26.3a He had attended the council as one of the retinue of Alexander. Although he was the principal deacon, he was then a very young man.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
325 - Athanasius' account of deliberations at Nicaea		
	525 - Amanasius account of deliberations at Nica	1.8.6b Athanasius, his fellow combatant, the champion of the truth, who succeeded the celebrated Alexander in the episcopate, added the following in a letter addressed to the Africans: 1.8.7 The bishops convened in council to refute the impious assertions invented by the Arians: that the Son was created out of what was non-existent, that He is a creature and created being, that there was a period in which He was not, and that He is changeable by nature. In accordance with the Holy Scriptures the bishops agreed to write that the Son is by nature only-begotten of God, Word, Power, and sole Wisdom of the Father; that He is, as John said, 'the true God,' and, as Paul has written, 'the brightness of the glory, and the express image of the person of the Father.' [Heb. 1:3] The followers of Eusebius, drawn aside by their own vile doctrine, then began to say one to another, 'Let us agree, because we are also of God, 1.8.8 There is but one God, by whom are all things,' and, 'Old things are passed away; behold, all things are become new, and all things are of God.' They also gave particular attention to what is contained in 'The Shepherd:' 'Believe above all that there is one God, who created and fashioned all things, and made them to be out of that which is not.' 1.8.9 But the bishops saw through their evil design and impious fraud and gave a clearer explanation of the words 'of God.' They wrote that the Son is of the substance of God, so that while the creatures, which do not in any way derive their existence of or from themselves, are said to be of God, only the Son is said to be of the substance of the Father. 1.8.10 This was unique to the only-begotten Son, the true Word of the Father. This is the reason why the bishops wrote that He is of the substance of the Father. But when the Arians, who seemed few in number, were
		again interrogated by the Bishops to see if they admitted
		'that the Son is not a creature, but Power, and sole

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		Wisdom, and eternal unchangeable Image of the Father,
		and that He is very God,' the Eusebians were noticed
		nodding to each other, saying, "These things apply to us
		as well. For it is said, that we are 'the image and glory of
		God;' and 'for always we who live:""
		1.8.11 There are, also, they said, many powers. 'For it
		is written—'All the power of God went out of the land of
		Egypt.' The worm and the locust are said to be 'a great
		power.' And elsewhere it is written, 'The God of powers
		is with us, our helper is the God of Jacob.' To which may
		be added that we are God's own not naturally, but
		because the Son called us 'brothers.'
		1.8.12 The declaration that Christ is 'the true God' does
		not distress us, for the one who came into being is true."
		This was the corrupt opinion of the Arians. But at that
		time the bishops, when they discovered their
		deceitfulness, collected from Scripture those passages
		which say of Christ that He is the glory, the fountain, the
		stream, and the express image of the person. They quoted
		the following words: 'In your light we shall see light;'
		and likewise, 'I and the Father are one.'
		1.8.13 Then, with still greater clearness, they briefly declared that the Son is of one substance with the Father.
		For this, indeed, is the meaning of the passages which
		have been quoted. 1.8.14 The complaint of the Arians, that these precise
		words are not to be found in Scripture, is proved
		groundless by their own practice. For their own impious
		assertions are not taken from Scripture (for it is not
		written that the Son comes from what was not, and that
		there was a time when He was not), and yet they
		complain about being condemned by expressions which,
		though not actually in Scripture, are in accordance with
		true religion. They themselves, on the other hand, as
		though they had found their words on a dunghill, uttered
		things that truly came from worldly thinking. The
		bishops, on the other hand, did not find their expressions
		for themselves, but received their testimony from the
		fathers and wrote accordingly.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
Sociales	Sozonen	1.8.15 Indeed, there were bishops of old, nearly one hundred and thirty years ago, both of the great city of Rome and of our own city, who condemned those who asserted that the Son is a creature and that He is not of one substance with the Father. Eusebius, the bishop of Caesarea, was acquainted with these facts; he, at one time, favored the Arian heresy, but later signed the confession of faith of the Council of Nicaea. 1.8.16 He wrote to the people of his diocese, maintaining that the word 'consubstantial' was 'used by illustrious bishops and learned writers as a term for expressing the divinity of the Father and of the Son.' 1.8.17 So these men concealed their madness because they feared the majority and gave their assent to the decisions of the council, thus drawing upon themselves the condemnation of the prophet, for the God of all cries out against them, "This people honor Me with their lips, but in their hearts they are far from Me." 1.8.18 Theonas and Secundus, however, did not want to take this course and were excommunicated by unanimous agreement as men who lifted the Arian blasphemy above evangelical doctrine. The bishops then returned to the council and drew up twenty laws to regulate the
Continu	ued debate about the term homoousios between l	discipline of the Church.
1.23.5 Meanwhile, another commotion was raised in the	2.18.3a At this period the bishops had another fierce	υιοποήο
church. In fact, her own children again disturbed her peace.	dispute among themselves concerning the precise meaning of the term "consubstantial."	
1.23.7 Those who objected to the word <i>homoousios</i> thought that those who approved it favored the opinion of Sabellius and Montanus. They therefore called them blasphemers, as subverting the existence of the Son of God. And again the advocates of this term, charging their opponents with polytheism, inveighed against them as introducers of heathen superstitions.	2.18.3b Some thought that this term could not be admitted without blasphemy, that it implied the non-existence of the Son of God, and that it involved the error of Montanus and Sabellius. Those, on the other hand, who defended the term, regarded their opponents as Greeks (or pagans), and considered that their sentiments led to polytheism.	
1.23.6 Eusebius Pamphilus says that immediately after the Council Egypt was engulfed by internal divisions. However, he did not provide the reason for this. As a result he gained a reputation of hypocrisy. He avoided		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
specifying the causes of these divisions because he was determined not to give his approval to the proceedings at Nicaea. Yet as we ourselves have discovered from various letters which the bishops wrote to one another after the Synod, the term <i>homoousios</i> troubled some of them. While they occupied themselves in a small investigation of its implication, they roused discord against each other. It seemed not unlike a contest in the dark; neither party appeared to understand distinctly the grounds on which they accused one another. 1.23.8 Eustathius, bishop of Antioch, accused Eusebius Pamphilus of perverting the Nicene Creed. Eusebius denied that he violated that exposition of the faith. In response Eusebius charged Eustathius of defending the opinion of Sabellius. In consequence of these misunderstandings, each of them wrote as if contending against adversaries, although both sides confessed that the Son of God has a distinct person and existence and all acknowledged that there is one God in three Persons. Yet from what cause I am unable to discern, they could not agree among themselves and therefore could not be at peace.	2.18.4 Eusebius, surnamed Pamphilus, and Eustathius, bishop of Antioch, took the lead in this dispute. They both confessed the Son of God to exist hypostatically and yet contended together as if they had misunderstood each other. Eustathius accused Eusebius of altering the doctrines ratified by the council of Nicaea, while the latter declared that he approved of all the Nicaean doctrines and reproached Eustathius for cleaving to the heresy of Sabellius.	Theodolet
	327 – Council of Antioch deposes Eustathius	
		1.21.1 Eusebius, as I have already stated, seized the diocese of Constantinople by force. And thus having acquired great power in that city, by frequently visiting and holding familiar intercourse with the emperor he gained confidence and formed plots against those who were foremost in the support of the truth. 1.21.2 He at first feigned a desire of going to Jerusalem, to see the celebrated edifices there erected. And the emperor, who was deceived by his flattery, allowed him to set out with the utmost honor, providing him with carriages and the rest of his equipage and retinue.
	2.19.1 A Synod was convened at Antioch.	1.21.3 Theognis, bishop of Nicaea, who, as we have before said, was his accomplice in his evil designs, travelled with him. When they arrived at Antioch, they put on the mask of friendship and were received with the

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		utmost deference. Eustathius, the great champion of the
		faith, treated them with fraternal kindness.
		1.21.4 When they arrived at the holy places, they had
		an interview with those who were of the same opinions as
		themselves, namely, Eusebius, bishop of Caesarea,
		Patrophilus, bishop of Scythopolis, Aetius, bishop of
		Lydda, Theodotus, bishop of Laodicea, and others who
		had imbibed the Arian sentiments. They made known to
		them the plot they had hatched and went with them to
		Antioch.
		1.21.5 The pretext for their journey was that due honor
		might be rendered to Eusebius, but their real motive was
		their war against religion. They bribed a low woman, who made a traffic of her beauty, to sell them her tongue
		and then repaired to the council. When all the spectators
		had been ordered to depart, they introduced the wretched
		woman.
		1.21.6 She held a babe in her arms, of which she loudly
		and impudently affirmed that Eustathius was the father.
		Eustathius, conscious of his innocence, asked her whether
		she could bring forward any witness to prove what she
		had stated.
		1.21.7 She replied that she could not. Yet these
		equitable judges admitted her to oath, although it is said
		in the law that 'at the mouth of two or three witnesses
		shall the matter be established,' and the apostle says,
		"against an elder receive not any accusation but before
		two or three witnesses."
		1.21.8 But they despised these divine laws and admitted
		the accusation against this great man without any
		witnesses. When the woman had again declared upon
		oath that Eustathius was the father of the babe, these
	2101 A.I.F. and	truth-loving judges condemned him as an adulterer.
	2.19.1 And Eustathius was deprived of the church of that city. It was most generally believed that he was	1.21.9 When the other bishops, who upheld the apostolic doctrines, ignorant of all these intrigues, openly
	deposed merely on account of his adherence to the faith of	opposed the sentence and advised Eustathius not to
	the council of Nicaea and on account of his having	submit to it, the originators of the plot promptly repaired
	accused Eusebius, Paulinus, bishop of Tyre, and	to the emperor and endeavored to persuade him that the
	Patrophilus, bishop of Scythopolis (whose sentiments	accusation was true and the sentence of deposition just.
	1 adoptinus, dishop of beythopolis (whose sentiments	accusation was true and the sentence of deposition just.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	were adopted by the Eastern priests) of favoring the	They succeeded in obtaining the banishment of this
	heresy of Arius. The pretext resorted to for his deposition,	champion of piety and chastity as an adulterer and a
	however, was that he had defiled the priesthood by unholy	tyrant.
	deeds.	1.22.1a He was conducted across Thrace to a city of
		Illyricum.
Churc	ch of Antioch divided for 8 years, emperor get in	volved
1.24.5 At that time, however, there arose a dangerous	2.19.2 His deposition caused so great a disturbance at	1.22.1b Eulalius was first consecrated in place. But
revolt at Antioch on account of his deposition. When they	Antioch that the people were on the point of taking up	Eulalius surviving his elevation only a short period, for it
proceeded to elect a successor, so fierce a dissension was	arms, and the whole city was in a state of commotion.	was intended that Eusebius of Palestine should be
kindled that the whole city was threatened with	This greatly injured him in the opinion of the emperor.	translated to this bishopric. Eusebius, however, refused
destruction. The populace was divided into two factions:	For when he understood what had happened and that the	the appointment, and the emperor forbade its being
one which vehemently fought for the appointment of	people of that church were divided into two parties, he	conferred on him. Next Euphronius was put forward.
Eusebius Pamphilus from Caesarea in Palestine to	was much enraged and regarded him with suspicion as the	When he also died, after a lapse of only one year and a
Antioch; the other which equally insisted on the	author of the tumult. The emperor, however, sent an	few months, the see was conferred on Flaccillus.
reinstatement of Eustathius.	illustrious officer of his palace, invested with full	1.22.2 All these bishops secretly clung to the Arian
1.24.6 The people of the city were so infected with the	authority, to calm the populace and put an end to the	heresy. Therefore it was that most of those individuals,
spirit of division in this quarrel among the Christians that	disturbance without having recourse to violence or injury.	whether of the clergy or of the laity, who valued the true
a military force was mustered on both sides with hostile	2.19.3 Those who had deposed Eustathius and who on	religion left the churches and formed assemblies among
intent. A bloody incident would have taken place, had not	this account were assembled in Antioch hoped that their	themselves. They were called Eustathians, since it was
God and the dread of the emperor repressed the violence of the masses.	sentiments would be universally received if they could	after the banishment of Eustathius that they began to hold
of the masses.	succeed in placing over the Church of Antioch one of their own opinion who was known to the emperor and held in	their meetings.
	repute for learning and eloquence. Hoping that they could	
	obtain the obedience of the rest, they fixed their thoughts	
	upon Eusebius Pamphilus for that see. They wrote to the	
	emperor upon this subject and stated that this course	
	would be highly acceptable to the people. He had, in fact,	
	been sought by all the clergy and laity who were	
	prejudiced to Eustathius.	
1.24.7 For the emperor, through letters, and Eusebius,	2,19.4 Eusebius, however, wrote to the emperor refusing	
by refusing to accept the bishopric, served to ease the	the dignity. The emperor approved of his refusal with	
tension. Because of this the emperor highly admired	praise; for there was an ecclesiastical law prohibiting the	
Eusebius. The emperor wrote to him, commending his	removal of a bishop from one bishopric to another. He	
prudent determination and congratulating him as one who	wrote to the people and to Eusebius, adopting his	
was considered worthy of being bishop not of merely one	judgment and calling him happy because he was worthy to	
city, but of almost the whole world.	hold the bishopric not only of one single city, but of the	
	world.	
	2.19.5 The emperor also wrote to the people of the	
	Church of Antioch concerning like-mindedness and told	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	them that they ought not to desire the bishops of other	
	regions, even as they ought not to covet the possessions of	
	others.	
	2.19.6 In addition to these he dispatched another epistle	
	to the Synod in private session and similarly commended	
	Eusebius, as in the letter to him, for having refused the	
	bishopric. Because he was convinced that Euphronius, a	
	presbyter of Cappadocia, and George of Arethusa were	
	men approved in creed, he commanded the bishops to	
	decide for one or other of them, or for whomsoever might	
	appear worthy of the honor, and to ordain a president for	
	the Church of Antioch. On the receipt of these letters from the emperor, Euphronius was ordained.	
	2.19.7 And I have heard that Eustathius bore this unjust	
	accusation calmly, judging it to be better, as he was a man	
	who, besides his virtues and excellent qualities, was justly	
	admired on account of his fine eloquence. This is	
	evidenced by his transmitted works, which are highly	
	approved for their choice of words, flavor of expression,	
	temperateness of sentiments, elegance and grace of	
	narration.	
1.24.8 Consequently, it is said that the episcopal chair		
of the church at Antioch was vacant for eight consecutive		
years after this period. At length, by the efforts of those		
who strove for the sabotage of the Nicene creed,		
Euphronius was installed. This is the amount of my		
information respecting the Synod held at Antioch on		
account of Eustathius.		1.22.3 The wretched woman above-mentioned was
		soon after attacked by a severe and protracted illness. She
		then confessed the trickery in which she had been
		engaged and made known the whole plot, not only to two
		or three, but to a very large number of priests. She
		confessed that she had been bribed to bring this false and
		impudent charge, but that her oath was not altogether
		false, as a certain Eustathius, a coppersmith, was the
		father of the babe. Such were some of the crimes
		perpetrated in Antioch by this most excellent faction.
	Eusebius asks Constantine to readmit Arius	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.23.4 He sought to persuade the emperor to give Arius		
an audience and permit him to return to Alexandria. How		
he attained his goal, I shall mention in its proper place.		
1.24.9 Immediately after these events Eusebius, who		
had long before left Berytus and was at that time		
presiding over the church at Nicomedia, strenuously		
exerted himself, together with those of his party, to bring		
back Arius to Alexandria. But how they managed to do		
this and how the emperor was persuaded to admit both		
Arius and with him Euzoïus into his presence must now		
be related.		
	erial household convinces Constantine that Arius	s should be recalled
1.25.1 The Emperor Constantine had a sister named		
Constantia, the widow of Licinius. He had for some time		
shared the imperial dignity with Constantine. However,		
he had begun acting tyrannically and had been put to		
death as a result.		
1.25.2 This princess maintained in her household a	2.27.2 A certain presbyter who was a great admirer of	
certain confidential presbyter who was saturated with the	the Arian doctrines was on terms of intimacy with the	
dogmas of Arianism. Because Eusebius and others	emperor's sister. At first he concealed his sentiments; but	
prompted him, he insinuated in his daily conversations	as he frequently visited and became increasingly more	
with Constantia that the Synod had done Arius injustice	familiar with Constantia, for this was the name of the	
and that the common report concerning him was not true.	sister of Constantine, he gained enough confidence to tell	
	her that Arius was unjustly exiled from his country and	
	cast out from the Church through the jealousy and	
	personal enmity of Alexander, bishop of the Alexandrian	
	Church. He said that his jealousy had come from the	
	honor which the people felt towards Arius.	
1.25.3 Constantia gave full credence to the presbyter's	2.27.3 Constantia believed these claims to be true, yet	
claims but did not dare report them to the emperor. Now	took no steps in her lifetime to change the decrees of	
it happened that she became dangerously ill, and her	Nicaea. When she was attacked with a disease which	
brother visited her daily.	threatened to end in death, she besought her brother. He	
1.25.4 As the disease grew worse and she expected to	went to visit her, to grant what she was about to ask as a	
die, she commended this presbyter to the emperor,	last favor. This request was to receive the above-	
testifying to his diligence and piety as well as his devoted	mentioned presbyter on terms of intimacy and to rely	
loyalty to his sovereign.	upon him as a man who had correct opinions about the	
	Divinity. 'For my part,' she added, 'I am drawing near to	
	death and am no longer interested in the concerns of this	
	life; the only apprehension I now feel arises from dread	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	lest you should incur the wrath of God and suffer any	
	calamity, or the loss of your empire, since you have been	
	persuaded to condemn just and good men wrongfully to	
	perpetual banishment."	
1.25.5 She died soon after. Consequently, the presbyter		
became one of the most confidential persons around the		
emperor. As he grew more and more comfortable, he		
repeated to the emperor what he had before stated to his		
sister: that Arius had no other views than the beliefs		
asserted by the Synod, that if he were admitted to the		
imperial court, he would give his full assent to what the		
Synod had decreed, and that he had been unreasonably slandered.		
1.25.6 The presbyter's words were curious to the emperor, and he said, 'If Arius subscribes with the Synod		
and holds its views, I will both give him an audience and		
send him back to Alexandria with honor.' After saying		
this, he immediately wrote to Arius these words:		
,,		
Con	stantine's letter summoning Arius to Constantin	ople
1.25.7 Victor Constantine Maximus Augustus, to Arius.	, and the second	
It was announced to your reverence some time since that		
you might come to our court in order to obtain an		
interview with us. We are not a little surprised that you		
did not do this immediately.		
1.25.8 Therefore, find transportation and hasten to our		
court. When you have experienced our mercy and regard		
for you, you may return to your own country. May God		
protect you, beloved.		
Dated the twenty-fifth of November. 1.25.9 This was the letter of the emperor to Arius. And I		
cannot but admire the ardent zeal which the prince		
expressed for religion. For it appears from this document		
that he had often before exhorted Arius to change his		
views, considering that he criticized Arius' delay in		
returning to the truth, although he had himself written		
frequently to Arius.		
November 27, 3	327 - Constantine ask Arius and Euzoius for a sta	atement of faith

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		1 neodoret
1.25.10 After receiving this letter, Arius came to	2.27.4 From that period the emperor received the	
Constantinople accompanied by Euzoïus, whom	presbyter into favor. After permitting him to speak freely	
Alexander had deprived of his deaconship when he	with him and to converse on the same topics concerning	
excommunicated Arius and his followers.	which his sister had given her command, he thought it	
1.25.11 The emperor accordingly admitted them to his	necessary to subject the case of Arius to a fresh	
presence and asked them whether they would agree to the	examination. It is probable that, in forming this decision,	
creed. And when they readily gave their assent, he	the emperor was either influenced by a belief in the	
ordered them to deliver to him a written statement of their	credibility of the attacks or by the desire of gratifying his	
faith.	sister. It was not long until he recalled Arius from exile	
	and demanded of him a written exposition of his faith	
	concerning the Godhead.	
	2.27.5 Arius avoided making use of the new terms	
	which he had previously devised and constructed another	
	exposition by using simpler terms, ones that were used by	
	the sacred Scriptures. He declared upon oath that he held	
	the doctrines set forth in this exposition, that he both felt	
	these statements <i>ex animo</i> and had no other thought than	
	these.	
1.26.1 They drew up a declaration in following effect	It was as follows:	
manner and presented it to the emperor.		
Th	e Letter of Arius and Euzoius with their confessi	on
1.26.2 Arius and Euzoïus, to our most reverent and	2.27.6 Arius and Euzoïus, to our most reverent and	
pious lord, Emperor Constantine.	pious lord, Emperor Constantine.	
In accord with the command of your devout piety,	In accord with the command of your devout piety,	
sovereign lord, we declare our faith, and in writing	sovereign lord, we declare our faith, and in writing profess	
profess before God that we and our adherents believe as	before God that we and our adherents believe as follows:	
follows:	2.27.7 We believe in one God the Father Almighty, and	
1.26.3 We believe in one God the Father Almighty, and	in the Lord Jesus Christ his Son, who was begotten of him	
in the Lord Jesus Christ his Son, who was begotten of him	before all ages, God the Word through whom all things	
before all ages, God the Word through whom all things	were made, both things in heaven and on earth; who	
were made, both things in heaven and on earth; who	descended, and became human, and suffered, and rose	
descended, and became human, and suffered, and rose	again, ascended into heaven, and will again come to judge	
again, ascended into heaven, and will again come to judge	the living and the dead.	
the living and the dead.	We believe also in the Holy Spirit, and in the resurrection	
We believe also in the Holy Spirit, and in the resurrection	of the flesh, and in the life of the coming age, and in the	
of the flesh, and in the life of the coming age, and in the	kingdom of the heavens, and in one catholic church of	
kingdom of the heavens, and in one catholic church of	God, extending from one end of the earth to the other	
God, extending from one end of the earth to the other.	2.27.8 This faith we have received from the holy	
coo, chemany from one one of the carm to the other.	gospels, in which the Lord says to his disciples: "Go and	
	geopeis, in which the Dord says to ins disciples. Of and	L

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.26.4 This faith we have received from the holy gospels, in which the Lord says to his disciples: "Go and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit." 1.26.5 If we do not so believe and do not truly receive the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, as the whole catholic church and the holy Scriptures teach (in which we believe in every respect), may God judge us both now, and in the coming judgment. 1.26.6 Wherefore we (who have been consecrated to the ministry, and hold the faith and opinions of the church and of the holy Scriptures) encourage your piety, most devout emperor, that we may be reunited to our mother, the church, by your peace-loving and devoted piety, avoiding all superfluous questions and disputes. 1.26.7 Then both we and the whole church will be at peace and will offer in common our accustomed prayers for your tranquil reign, and also for your whole family. 1	teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit." 2.27.9 If we do not so believe and do not truly receive the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, as the whole catholic church and the holy Scriptures teach (in which we believe in every respect), may God judge us both now, and in the coming judgment. 2.27.10 Wherefore we, who have been consecrated to the ministry, and hold the faith and opinions of the church and of the holy Scriptures, encourage your piety, most devout emperor, that we may be reunited to our mother, the church, by your peace-loving and devoted piety, avoiding all superfluous questions and disputes. Then both we and the whole church will be at peace and will offer in common our accustomed prayers for your tranquil reign, and also for your whole family.	Theodoret
	of Arius. The terms in which it was expressed were so	
	vague that it was open to diverse interpretations. June 8, 328 – Athanasius replaces Alexander	
1.15.1 After this, Alexander bishop of Alexandria died, and Athanasius was set over that church.	June 8. 328 – Athanasius replaces Alexander 2.17.1 About this period Alexander, bishop of Alexandria, was about to depart this life, and he left Athanasius as his successor, in accordance, I am convinced, with the Divine will directing the vote upon him. It is said that Athanasius at first sought to avoid the honor by flight, but that he, although unwilling, was afterwards compelled by Alexander to accept the bishopric. 2.17.2 This is testified by Apolinarius, the Syrian, in the following terms: 'In all these matters much disturbance was excited by impiety, but its first effects were felt by the blessed teacher of this man, who was at hand as an	1.26.1a Alexander, that admirable bishop, who had successfully withstood the blasphemies of Arius, died five months after the council of Nicaea and was succeeded in the episcopate of the church of Alexandria by Athanasius.

¹ Socrates 1.26.2-7 and Sozomen 2.27.6-10 are almost identical Greek text, cf http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-30.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	assistant and behaved as a son would to his father.	
	Afterwards this holy man himself underwent the same	
	experience, for when appointed to the episcopal	
	succession he fled to escape the honor. But he was	
	discovered in his place of concealment by the help of	
	God, who had told his whereabouts by Divine revelations	
	to his blessed predecessor and that the succession was to	
	be conferred upon him.	
	2.17.3 For when Alexander was on the point of death, he	
	called upon Athanasius, who was then absent. One who	
	bore the same name and who happened to be present, on	
	hearing him call this way, answered him. But to him	
	Alexander was silent, since he was not summoning this	
	man. Again he called and, as it often happens, the one	
	present kept still. Thus the absent one was disclosed.	
	Moreover, the blessed Alexander prophetically exclaimed,	
	'O Athanasius, you think to escape, but you will not	
	escape'; meaning that Athanasius would be called to the	
	conflict.	
	2.17.4 Such is the account given by Apolinarius	
	respecting Athanasius. The Arians claim that after the	
	death of Alexander the respective followers of that bishop	
	and of Meletius held communion together. Fifty-four	
	bishops from Thebes and other parts of Egypt assembled	
	together and agreed by oath to choose by a common vote	
	the man who could advantageously administer the Church	
	of Alexandria. But seven of the bishops, in violation of	
	their oath and contrary to the opinion of all, secretly	
	ordained Athanasius. And on this account many of the	
	people and many of the Egyptian clergy seceded from	
	communion with him.	
	2.17.5 For my part I am convinced that it was by Divine	1.26.1b Trained from his youth in sacred studies,
	appointment that Athanasius succeeded to the high-	Athanasius had attracted general admiration in each
	priesthood. For he was eloquent and intelligent and	ecclesiastical office that he filled.
	capable of opposing plots, and of such a man the times	1.26.2 He had at the general council so defended the
	had the greatest need. He displayed great aptitude in the	doctrines of the apostles that, while he won the
	exercise of the ecclesiastical functions and fitness for the	approbation of all the champions of the truth, its
	priesthood and was, so to speak, from his earliest years,	opponents learned to look on their antagonist as a
	self-taught.	personal foe and public enemy.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		1.26.3a He had attended the council as one of the
		retinue of Alexander. Although he was the principal
		deacon, he was then a very young man.
Athan	asius refuses to accept Arius and is met with opp	osition
1.27.1 Arius thus satisfied the emperor and returned to	2.29a After the Synod of Jerusalem Arius went to	
Alexandria. But his craftiness for suppressing the truth	Egypt, but because he could not obtain permission to hold	
did not succeed. When he arrived at Alexandria,	communion with the Church of Alexandria, he returned to	
Athanasius would not receive him, turning away from	Constantinople.	
him as a pest. Arius then attempted to instigate a fresh	•	
commotion in that city by spreading his heresy.		
1.27.2 Then the followers of Eusebius wrote to the	2.22.1 The various calamities which befell Athanasius	
emperor and persuaded him also to write to Athanasius,	were primarily occasioned by Eusebius and Theognis.	
that Arius and his supporters might be readmitted into the	Because they possessed great freedom of speech and	
church.	influence with the emperor, they strove for the recall of	
1.27.3 Nevertheless, Athanasius wholly refused to	Arius, with whom they were on terms of concord and	
receive them and replied to the emperor that it was	friendship, to Alexandria, and at the same time the	
impossible for those who had once rejected the faith and	expulsion from the Church of him who was opposed to	
had been anathematized to be again received into	them. They accused him before Constantine of being the	
communion on their return.	author of all the seditions and troubles that agitated the	
	Church and of excluding those who were desirous of	
	joining the Church. They also alleged that unity would be	
	restored were he alone to be removed.	
	2.22.2 The accusations against him were supported by	
	many bishops and clergy who were with John and who	
	persistently obtained access to the emperor. They	
	pretended to be very orthodoxy and charged to Athanasius	
	and the bishops of his party all the bloodshed, bonds,	
	unjust blows, wounds, and struggles of churches.	
	2.22.3 But when Athanasius demonstrated to the	
	emperor the illegality of the ordination of John's	
	followers, their changes to the decrees of the Nicaean	
	Council, the unsoundness of their faith, and the insults	
	offered to those who held right opinions about God,	
	Constantine was at a loss to know whom to believe.	
	2.22.4 Since there were such mutual assertions and	
	many accusations were frequently stirred up by each	
	party, and since he was earnestly anxious to restore the	
	like-mindedness of the people, he wrote to Athanasius that	
	no one should be shut out. If this should be betrayed to the	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	last, he would send regardless of consequences one who	
	should expel him from the city of Alexandria. If anyone	
	should desire to see this letter of the emperor's, he will	
	here find the portion of it relating to this affair:	
1.27.4 But the emperor, angered at this answer,	2.22.5 'As you are now acquainted with my will, which	
menaced Athanasius in these terms:	is, that to all who desire to enter the Church you should	
Since you have been informed of my will, give	offer an unhindered entrance. For should I hear that any	
unhindered access into the church to all those who are	who are willing to join the Church, have been debarred or	
desirous of entering it. For if it shall be spoken to me that	hindered therefrom by you, I shall send at once an officer	
you have prohibited any of those claiming to be reunited	who shall remove you, according to my command, and	
to the church, or have hindered their admission, I will	shall transfer you to some other place.	
immediately send someone who at my command shall		
depose you and drive you into exile.		
1.27.5 The emperor wrote thus from a desire of		
promoting the public good and because he did not wish to		
see the church ruptured. He labored earnestly to bring		
them all into harmony.		
1.27.6 Then indeed the partisans of Eusebius, ill-		
disposed towards Athanasius, imagined they had found a		
seasonable opportunity and welcomed the emperor's		
displeasure as an aid to their own purpose. On this		
account they raised a great disturbance, endeavoring to		
eject him from his bishopric. For they hoped that the		
Arian doctrine would prevail upon the removal of		
Athanasius.		
330 - Th	ne Arians and Meletians join forces to oppose At	hanasius
		1.26.3 When those who had denied the only-begotten
		Son of God heard that the helm of the Church of
		Alexandria had been entrusted to his hands. knowing as
		they did by experience his zeal for the truth, they thought
		that his rule would prove the destruction of their
		authority. They, therefore, resorted to the following
		machinations against him.
1.27.7a The chief conspirators against him were	2.21.3 When the Arians perceived that the Meletians	
Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia, Theognis of Nicaea,	were introducing changes to the faith, they also harassed	
Maris of Chalcedon, Ursacius of Singidnum in Upper	the churches. For, as frequently occurs in similar	
Moesia, and Valens of Mursa in Upper Pannonia. These	disturbances, some applauded the opinion of Arius, while	
	others contended that those who had been ordained by	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
people bribed certain members of the Meletian heresy to	Meletius ought to govern the churches. These two bodies	
fabricate various charges against Athanasius.	of separtists had until then been opposed to each other, but	
	when they perceived that the priests of the Catholic	
	Church were followed by the multitude,	
	2.21.4 they became jealous and formed an alliance	
	together, displaying a common enmity to the clergy of	
	Alexandria. Their measures of attack and defense were so	
	long carried on in concert that after awhile the Meletians	
	were generally called Arians in Egypt, although they only	
	differed on questions of the presidency of the churches,	
	while the Arians hold the same opinions concerning God	
	as Arius.	
	2.21.5 Although they individually denied one another's	
	tenets, they disguised this, in contradiction of their own	
	view, in order to attain an underhanded agreement in the	
	fellowship of their enmity. At the same time each one	
	expected to prevail easily in what he desired. From this	
	period, however, the Meletians, after the discussion on	
	those topics, received the Arian doctrines and held the	
	same opinion as Arius concerning God. This revived the	
	original controversy concerning Arius, and some of the	
	laity and clergy seceded from communion with the others.	
	2.22.6 Athanasius, however, wrote to the emperor and	
	convinced him that the Arians ought not to be received	
	into communion by the Catholic Church. Eusebius,	
	perceiving that his schemes could never be carried into	
	execution while Athanasius strove in opposition,	
	determined to resort to any means in order to get rid of	
	him. But because he could not find a sufficient pretext for	
	carrying out his plan, he promised the Meletians to	
	persuade the emperor and those in power in their favor, if	
	they would bring an accusation against Athanasius.	
1.27.7b First they accused him through the Meletians	2.22.7a Accordingly, the first charge came: that he had	1.26.4 In order to avert suspicion they bribed some of
Ision, Eudaemon and Callinicus, of ordering the	imposed upon the Egyptians a tax on linen tunics, and that	the adherents of Meletius, who, although deposed by the
Egyptians to pay a linen garment as tribute to the church	such a tribute had been exacted from the accusers.	council of Nicaea, had continued to cause disturbances in
at Alexandria.		the Thebaid and in the adjacent part of Egypt, and
		persuaded them to go to the emperor and to accuse
		Athanasius of levying a tax upon Egypt and giving the

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.27.8a But this accusation was immediately disproved by Alypius and Macarius, presbyters of the Alexandrian church, who happened then to be at Nicomedia. They convinced the emperor that these prejudiced statements against Athanasius were false.	2.22.7b Alypius and Macarius, presbyters of the Church of Athanasius, who then happened to be at court, clearly proved the persistent accusation to be false.	gold collected to a certain man who was preparing to usurp the imperial power.
c. 331-3	32 - Constantine summons Athanasius and pard	ons him
1.27.8b Therefore the emperor by letter severely reprimanded his accusers but urged Athanasius to come to him. 1.27.9 But before he came, the Eusebian faction, anticipating his arrival, added to their former accusation the charge of another crime of a still more serious nature than the former: that Athanasius plotted against his sovereign sent for treasonable purposes a chest full of gold to one named Philumenus. 1.27.10 When, however, the emperor had himself investigated this matter at Psamathia, which is in the suburbs of Nicomedia, and had found Athanasius innocent, he dismissed him with honor. The emperor wrote with his own hand to the church at Alexandria to assure them that their bishop had been falsely accused.	2.22.8 When he was summoned to answer for the offense, Athanasius was further accused of conspiring against the emperor and of sending, for this purpose, a casket of gold to one Philumen. The emperor detected the lie of his accusers, sent Athanasius home, and wrote to the people of Alexandria to testify that their bishop possessed great moderation and a correct faith, that he had gladly met him, and that he recognized him to be a man of God, and that, as envy had been the sole cause of his indictment, he had appeared to better advantage than his accusers; 2.22.9 And because he heard that the Arian and Meletian separtists had stirred dissensions in Egypt, the emperor, in the same epistle, encouraged the multitude to look to God, to take heed unto his judgments, to be well disposed toward one another, and to prosecute with all their might those who plotted against their likemindedness. Thus the emperor wrote to the people, exhorting them all to like-mindedness, and striving to prevent divisions in the Church.	1.26.5 Because the emperor was deceived by this story, Athanasius was brought to Constantinople. Upon his arrival he proved that the accusation was false and had the charge given him by God restored to him. This is shown by a letter from the emperor to the Church of Alexandria, which I shall transcribe only the concluding paragraph: 1.27 Believe me, my brethren, the wicked men were unable to effect anything against your bishop. They surely could have had no other design than to waste our time and to leave themselves no place for repentance in this life. Do you, therefore, help yourselves, and love that which wins your love. Exert all your power in the expulsion of those who wish to destroy your concord. Look unto God and love one another. I joyfully welcomed Athanasius your bishop and I have conversed with him as with one whom I know to be a man of God.
	334 - Strange accusations against Athanasius	T
1.27.11 It would indeed have been both proper and desirable to have passed over in silence the subsequent attacks which the Eusebians made upon Athanasius, lest from these circumstances the Church of Christ should be judged unfavorably by those who are opposed to its interests.		1.28.1 The accusers of Athanasius, however, did not desist from their attempts. On the contrary, they devised so bold a fiction against him that it surpassed every invention of the ancient writers of the tragic or comic stage. They again bribed individuals of the same party and brought them before the emperor, noisily accusing that champion of virtue of many abominable crimes. The

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.27.12 But since they are already in writing, they have		leaders of the party were Eusebius, Theognis, and
become known to everybody, Because of this I deemed it		Theodorus, bishop of Perinthus, a city now called
necessary to make as brief a mention of these things as		Heraclea.
possible, the particulars of which would require a special		
treatise. From where the slanderous accusation originated,		
and the character of those who devised it, I shall now		
state in brief.		
1.27.13 Marcotes is a district of Alexandria. There are	2.23.1a The Meletians, on the failure of their first	
contained in it very many villages and an abundant	attempt, secretly paned another accusation against	
population, with numerous splendid churches. These	Athanasius. On the one hand, they charged him with	
churches are all under the jurisdiction of the bishop of	breaking a sacred chalice.	
Alexandria and are subject to his city as parishes.		
1.27.14 There was in this region a person named		
Ischyras, who had been guilty of an act deserving of many		
deaths. Although he had never been admitted to holy		
orders, he had the audacity to assume the title of presbyter		
and to exercise sacred functions belonging to the		
priesthood.		
1.27.15 But when his sacrilegious career got out, he		
made his escape from there and sought refuge in		
Nicomedia, where he begged for the protection of the		
party of Eusebius, telling them made up stories about		
Athanasius. Because of their hatred for Athanasius they		
not only received him as a presbyter but even promised to		
confer upon him the dignity of the episcopacy, if he		
would frame an accusation against Athanasius. He spread		
a report that he had suffered dreadfully in consequence of		
an assault and that Macarius had rushed furiously toward		
the altar, had overturned the table, and broken a mystical		
cup. He added also that he had burnt the sacred books.		
1.27.16 As a reward for this accusation the Eusebian		
faction, as I have said, promised him a bishopric. The		
Eusebians foresaw that the charges against Macarius		
would involve, along with the accused party, Athanasius,		
under whose orders he would seem to have acted.		
1.27.17 But this charge they formulated later. Before it		
they devised another accusation full of the most bitter		
hatred, to which I shall now advert. on the following		
account.		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.27.18 Having somehow, I know not how, obtained a	2.23.1b On the other they charged that he had slain one	1.30.1a Arsenius was a bishop of the Meletian faction.
man's hand, whether they themselves had murdered any	Arsenius, cut off his arm, and retained it for magical	The men of his party put him in a place of concealment
one and cut off his hand or had severed it from some dead	purposes. It is said that this Arsenius was one of the	and charged him to remain there as long as possible.
body, God and the authors of the deed know, but be that	clergy but that, having committed some crime, he fled to a	They then cut off the right hand of a corpse, embalmed it,
as it may, they publicly exposed it as the hand of	place of concealment for fear of being convicted and	placed it in a wooden case, and carried it about
Arsenius, a Meletian bishop, while they kept the alleged	punished by his bishop.	everywhere, declaring that it was the hand of Arsenius,
owner of it concealed. This hand, they asserted, had been		who had been murdered by Athanasius.
used by Athanasius to perform certain magic arts.		
1.27.19 Therefore it was made the gravest ground of	2.23.2 The enemies of Athanasius devised the most	
accusation which these accusers had arranged against	serious attack for this occurrence. They sought Arsenius	
him. And, as it generally happens, all those who held any	with great diligence and found him. They showed him	
grudge against Athanasius came forward at the same time	great kindness, promised to secure for him every goodwill	
with a variety of other charges.	and safety, and conducted him secretly to Patrines, a	
	presbyter of a monastery, who was one of their	
	confederates and of the same interest as themselves. After	
	having thus carefully concealed him, they diligently	
	spread the report in the market-places and public	
	assemblies that he had been slain by Athanasius. They	
1.27.20 When the emperor was informed of these	also bribed John, a monk, to corroborate the accusation.	
1.27.20 When the emperor was informed of these proceedings, he wrote to his nephew Dalmatius the	2.23.3 As this evil report was universally circulated and had even reached the ears of the emperor, Athanasius,	
censor, who then had his residence at Antioch in Syria,	being apprehensive that it would be difficult to defend his	
directing him to order the accused parties to be brought	cause before judges whose minds were prejudiced by such	
before him and, after due investigation, to inflict	false rumors, resorted to tactics similar to those of his	
punishment on whomever might be convicted.	adversaries. He did everything in his power to prevent	
pullishment on whomever hight be convicted.	truth from being obscured by their attacks.	
1.27.21 He also sent there Eusebius and Theognis, that	2.23.4 But the multitude could not be convinced, on	
the case might be tried in their presence. When	account of the absence of Arsenius. Reflecting, therefore,	
Athanasius knew that he was to be summoned before the	that the suspicion which rested upon him could not be	
censor, he sent into Egypt to make a strict search after	removed except by proving that Arsenius, who was said to	
Arsenius. Athanasius discovered indeed that he was	be dead, was still alive, he sent a most trustworthy deacon	
hiding there, but Athanasius was unable to apprehend	in quest of him. The deacon went to Thebes and	
him, because he often changed his place of concealment.	discovered from the testimony of some monks where he	
	was living. And when he came to Patrines, with whom he	
	had been concealed, he found that Arsenius was not there.	
	For on the first notice of the arrival of the deacon he had	
	been conveyed to Lower Egypt.	
	2.23.5 The deacon arrested Patrines and conducted him	1.30.1b But the all-seeing eye did not permit Arsenius
	to Alexandria, as also Elias, one of his associates, who	to remain long in concealment.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	was said to have been the person who conveyed Arsenius	
	elsewhere. He delivered them both to the commander of	
	the Egyptian forces, and they confessed that Arsenius was	
	still alive, that he had been secretly concealed in their	
	house, and that he was now living in Egypt.	
	2.23.6 Athanasius took care that all these facts should be	
	reported to Constantine. The emperor wrote back to him,	
	desiring him to attend to the due performance of the	
	priestly functions and the maintenance of order and piety	
	among the people. The emperor also said to not be	
	unsettled by the conspiracy of the Meletians. For it was	
	evident that envy alone was the cause of the disturbance in	
	the churches of the false indictments which were	
	circulated against him and	
	2.23.7 The emperor added that, for the future, he should	
	not give place to such reports; and that, unless the	
	accusers preserved the peace, he should certainly subject	
	them to the rigor of the state laws, add let justice have its	
	course, as they had not only unjustly plotted against the	
	innocent, but had also shamefully abused the good order	
	and piety of the Church. Such was the strain of the	
	emperor's letter to Athanasius; and he further commanded	
	that it should be read aloud before the public, in order that	
	they might all be made acquainted with his intentions.	
	2.23.8 The Meletians were alarmed at these menaces	
	and became more quiet for a while, because they viewed	
	with anxiety the threat of the ruler. The churches	
	throughout Egypt enjoyed profound peace and, directed	
	by the presidency of this great priest, daily increased in	
	numbers by the conversion of multitudes of pagans and	
	other heretics.	
	May 335 – Council called at Tyre	
	2.25.1 The plots of the enemies of Athanasius involved	1.28.2 After having accused Athanasius of crimes
	him in fresh troubles, excited the hatred of the emperor	which they described as too shocking to be tolerated or
	against him, and stirred up a multitude of accusers.	even listened to, they persuaded the emperor to convene a
	Wearied by their petitions, the emperor convened a	council at Caesarea in Palestine, where Athanasius had
	council at Caesarea in Palestine. Athanasius was	many enemies, and to command that his cause should be
	summoned there; but fearing the plots of Eusebius, bishop	there tried. The emperor, utterly ignorant of the plot that
	of the city, of Eusebius, bishop of Nicomedia, and of their	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	party, he refused to attend and for thirty months, although	had been devised, was persuaded by them to give the
	pressed to attend, persisted in his refusal.	required order.
		1.28.3 But the holy Athanasius, well aware of the
		malevolence of those who were to try him, refused to
		appear at the council. This served as a pretext to those
		who opposed the truth to accuse him still further, and
		they accused him before the emperor of disobedience and
1.28.1 The emperor had ordered a Synod of bishops to	2.25.2 At the end of that period, however, he was forced	arrogance. Nor were their hopes altogether frustrated. 1.28.4 For the emperor, although exceedingly patient,
be present at the consecration of the church which he had	more urgently and repaired to Tyre, where a great number	became exasperated by their appeals and wrote to him in
erected at Jerusalem. He therefore directed that, as a	of the bishops of the East were assembled, who	an angry manner, commanding him to repair to Tyre.
secondary matter, they should on their way first assemble	commanded him to undergo the charges of those who	Here the council was ordered to assemble, from the
at Tyre. He wanted them to examine the charges against	accused him.	suspicion, as I think, that Athanasius had an apprehension
Athanasius in order that all cause of contention might be		of Caesarea on account of its bishop. The emperor wrote
removed there and that they might more peacefully		also to the council in a style consistent with his devoted
perform the inaugural ceremonies in the dedication of the		piety. His letter is as follows:
church of God.		
1.28.2 This was the thirtieth year of Constantine's reign.		
Sixty bishops thus gathered at Tyre from various places		
on the summons of Dionysius the consul.		
1.28.3 As to Macarius the presbyter, he was conducted from Alexandria in chains under a military escort.		
1.28.4 Athanasius was unwilling to go there, not so		
much from dread, but because he was innocent of the		
charges made and because he feared that new changes		
might be made to the decisions of the council at Nicaea.		
He was, however, forced to be present by the menacing		
letters of the emperor. For it had been written him that if		
he did not come voluntarily, he would be brought by		
force.		
Con	stantine's letter of instruction to the Council of	. V
		1.29.1 Constantius Augustus, to the holy council
		assembled in Tyre.
		In the general prosperity, which distinguishes the present
		time, it seems right that the Catholic Church should likewise be exempt from trouble and that the servants of
		Christ should be freed from every reproach. But certain
		individuals, incited by the mad desire of contention, not
		to say leading a life unworthy of their profession, are
	I	12 22 Juliang a me annotary of their profession, the

endeavoring to throw all into disorder. This appears so me to be the greatest of all possible calamities. I besech you, therefore, in post baste, as the phrase goes, to assemble together, without any delay, in formal synod; so that you may support those who require your assistance, heal the briefler members, and retrify the disorders of the Church while time permitis; and thus restore to those great provinces the harmony which, alse the arrogance of a few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with recoballed engentes to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. Have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present ocasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into the bimself of truth. 2.26 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the exclesiastical and	Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
therefore, in post haste, as the phrase goes, to assemble together, without any delay, in formal synod; so that you may support those who require your assistance, heal the brethren who are in danger, restore unanimity to the divided members, and rectify the disorders of the Church while time permits; and thus restore to those great provinces the harmony which, alast the arrogance of a few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled cagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecelesiastical and			
together, without any delay, in formal synod; so that you may support those who require your assistance, heal the brethren who are in danger, restore unanimity to the divided members, and rectify the disorders of the Church while time permits; and thus restore to those great provinces the harmony which, alast the arrogance of a few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting onto these disconsions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and requirity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			to be the greatest of all possible calamities. I beseech you,
may support those who require your assistance, heal the brethern who are in danger, restore unanimity to the divided members, and rectify the disorders of the Church while time permits; and thus restore to those great provinces the harmony which, alast the arrogance of a few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled cagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 Thave sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into bunishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejuiduce, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
brethren who are in danger, restore unanimity to the divided members, and rectify the disorders of the Chruch while time permits; and thus restore to those great provinces the harmony which, alas! the arrogance of a few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclessiated matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclessiastical and			
divided members, and rectify the disorders of the Church while time permits; and thus restore to those great provinces the harmony which, alas! the arrogance of a few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejeduce, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
while time permits; and thus restore to those great provinces the harmony which, alas! the arrogance of a few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of ruth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
provinces the harmony which, alas! the arrogance of a few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagemess to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refluse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
few men has destroyed. 1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
1.29.2 I believe everyone would admit that you could not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
not perform anything so pleasing in the sight of God, so surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
surpassing all my prayers as well as your own, or so conductive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
conducive to your own reputation, as to restore peace. 1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
1.29.3 Do not therefore delay, but when you have come together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
together with all that sincerity and fidelity which our Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
Savior demands of all His servants, almost in words that we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
we can hear, endeavor with redoubled eagerness to put a fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
fitting end to these dissensions. Nothing shall be omitted on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
on my part to further the interests of our religion. I have done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
done all that you recommended in your letters. 1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
1.29.4 I have sent to those bishops whom you specified, directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
directing them to repair to the council for the purpose of deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
deliberating with you upon ecclesiastical matters. I have also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
also sent Dionysius, a man of consular rank, to counsel those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
those who are to sit in synod with you and to be himself an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
an eye witness of your proceedings, and particularly of the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
the order and regularity that is maintained. 1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
1.29.5 If anyone should dare on the present occasion also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
also to disobey our command and refuse to come to the council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
council, which, however, I do not anticipate, an officer will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
will be dispatched immediately to send him into banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
banishment by imperial order, that he may learn not to oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
oppose the decrees enacted by the emperor for the support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
support of truth. 1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
1.29.6 All that now devolves upon your holinesses is to decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
decide with unanimous judgment, without partiality or prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
prejudice, in accordance with the ecclesiastical and			
1 adosignical fulle, and to devise simable remedies for the			apostolical rule, and to devise suitable remedies for the

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		offences which may have resulted from error, in order
		that the Church may be freed from all reproach, that my
		anxiety may be diminished, that peace may be restored to
		those now at variance, and that your renown may be
		increased. May God preserve you, beloved brethren.
	Bishops convene at Tyre and charge Athanasius	3
		1.29.7 The bishops accordingly repaired to the council
		of Tyre. Amongst them were those who were accused of
		holding heterodox doctrines, of whom Asclepas, bishop
		of Gaza, was one. The admirable Athanasius also
		attended. I shall first dwell on the tragedy of the
		accusation and shall then relate the proceedings of this
		celebrated tribunal.
	2.25.3 Callinicus, a bishop, and a certain Ischurias, both	
	of John's party, accused him of breaking a mystical	
	chalice, of throwing down an episcopal chair, and of often	
	binding Ischurias, although he was a presbyter, in chains.	
	They also falsely informing Hyginus, governor of Egypt	
	that he had cast stones at the statues of the emperor, that	
	Athanasius caused Ischurias to be through into prison,	
	2.25.4 that he deposed Callinicus, bishop of the Catholic	
	Church at Pelusium, and that he said he would deny	
	Callinicus from fellowship unless Callinicus could	
	remove certain suspicions about Athanasius having	
	broken a mystical chalice, that he committed the Church	
	of Pelusium to Mark, a deposed presbyter, and that he	
	placed Callinicus under a military guard,	
	2.25.5 They also said that Athanasius had put Callinicus	
	under judicial tortures. Euplus, Pachomius, Isaac, Achillas, and Hermaeon, bishops of John's party, accused	
	him of inflicting blows.	
	2.25.6 They all agreed that he obtained the episcopal	
	dignity by means of the perjury of certain individuals, for	
	it had been decreed that no one should receive ordination	
	who could not clear himself of any crime laid to his	
	charge. They further alleged that because they had been	
	deceived by him, they had separated themselves from	
	communion with him and that, so far from satisfying their	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	grievances, he had treated them with violence and thrown	
	them into prison.	
	2.25.7 Further, the affair of Arsenius was again brought	
	up. And as generally happens in such a cleverly devised	
	plot, many even of those considered his friends loomed up	
	unexpectedly as accusers. A document was then read	
	containing popular complaints, that the people of	
	Alexandria could not continue their attendance at church	
	on his account.	
	2.25.8 Athanasius, having been urged to justify himself,	
	presented himself repeatedly before the tribunal,	
	successfully repelled some of the allegations, and	
	requested delay for investigation as to the others. He was	
	exceedingly perplexed when he reflected on the favor in	
	which his accusers were held by his judges, on the number	
	of witnesses belonging to the sects of Arius and Meletius	
	who appeared against him, and on the courtesy that was	
	manifested towards the informers whose allegations had	
	been overcome. He was especially perplexed in the	
	accusation concerning Arsenius, whose arm he was	
	charged with having cut off for purposes of magic, and in	
	the charge concerning a certain woman to whom he was	
	charged with having given gifts for uncleanness and with	
	having slept with her by night, although she was	
	unwilling.	
	July 335 - Arsenius is found and brought to Tyre	
1.29.1 The special providence of God drove Arsenius		1.30.2a He was first seen alive in Egypt; then in the
also to Tyre. Disregarding the instructions he had		Thebaid; afterwards he was led by Divine Providence to
received from the accusers who had bribed him, he went		Tyre, where the hand of tragic fame was brought before
there disguised to see what would be done.		the council.
1.29.2 Somehow it happened that the servants of		1.30.2bThe friends of Athanasius hunted him up and
Archelaus, the governor of the province, heard some		brought him to an inn, where they compelled him to lie
peple at an inn affirm that Arsenius, who was reported to		hid for a time. Early in the morning the great Athanasius
have been murdered, was concealed in the house of one of		came to the council.
the citizens.		
1.29.3 Having heard this and marked the individuals by		
whom this statement was made, they communicated the		
information to their master. Their master caused a strict		
search to be made for the man immediately. Thus they		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
discovered and properly secured him. After this he gave		
notice to Athanasius that he need not be under any alarm,		
because Arsenius was alive and there present.		
1.29.4 When Arsenius was apprehended, he at first		
denied that he was the person. But Paul, bishop of Tyre,		
who had formerly known him, established his identity.		
	Athanasius defends himself against fornication	
	2.25.9 Both these indictments were proved to be	1.30.3 First of all a woman of lewd life was brought in,
	ridiculous and full of false espionage. When this female	who proclaimed in a loud and impudent manner that she
	made the deposition before the bishops, Timothy, a	had vowed perpetual virginity, but that Athanasius, who
	presbyter of Alexandria, who stood by Athanasius,	had lodged in her house, had violated her chastity. After
	approached her according to a plan he had secretly	she had made her charge, the accused came forward, and
	concerted, and said to her, "Did I then, O woman, violate	with him a presbyter worthy of all praise, by name
	your chastity?" She replied, "But did you not?" and	Timothy.
	mentioned the place and the attendant circumstances in	1.30.4 The court ordered Athanasius to reply to the
	which she had been forced.	charge, but he was silent, as if he had not been
		Athanasius. Timothy, however, addressed her thus:
		"Have I, O woman, ever conversed with you, or have I
		entered your house?" She replied with still greater
		brazenness, screaming aloud in her dispute with Timothy
		and, pointing at him with her finger, she exclaimed, "It
		was you who robbed me of my virginity; it was you who
		stripped me of my chastity." She also added other
		indelicate expressions which are used by shameless
		women.
		1.30.5 The devisers of this accusation were put to
		shame, and all the bishops who were privy to it blushed.
		The woman was now being led out of the Court, but the
		great Athanasius protested that instead of sending her
		away they ought to examine her and learn the name of the
		hatcher of the plot.
Athanasius d	lefends himself against using a severed hand for	
1.29.5 When Divine Providence thus took care of	2.25.10 He likewise led Arsenius into the midst of them,	1.30.6 After this his accusers yelled and shouted that he
matters, Athanasius was shortly after summoned by the	showed both his hands to the judges, and requested them	had perpetrated other viler crimes, of which it was utterly
Synod. And as soon as he presented himself, his accusers	to make the accusers account for the arm which they had	impossible that he could by any art or ingenuity be
exhibited the hand and pressed their charge.	exhibited. For it happened that Arsenius, either driven by	cleared, that eyes, not ears, would decide on the evidence.
1.29.6 He managed the affair with great prudence, for	a Divine influence or, as it is said, having been concealed	After saying iths said this, they exhibited the famous box
he enquired of those present, as well as of his accusers,	by the plans of Athanasius when the danger to that bishop	and exposed the embalmed hand to view.

G	G	TT1 1
Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
who were the people who knew Arsenius? After several	on his account was announced, escaped by night and arrived at Tyre the day before the trial.	1.30.7 At this sight all the spectators uttered a loud cry. Some believed the accusation to be true; the others had
answered that they knew him, he caused Arsenius to be introduced with his hands covered by his cloak.	2.25.11 But when these allegations had been thus	no doubt of the falsehood, and thought that Arsenius was
1.29.7 Then he again asked them, 'Is this the person	summarily dismissed, so that no defense was necessary,	lurking somewhere or other in concealment. When at
who has lost a hand?' All were astonished at the	no mention of the first was made in the transactions. Most	length, after some difficulty, a little silence was obtained,
unexpectedness of this procedure, except those who knew	probably, I think, because the whole affair was considered	the accused asked his judges whether any of them knew
from where the hand had been cut off. The rest thought	too improper and absurd for insertion.	Arsenius.
that Arsenius was really deficient of a hand and expected	2.25.12 As to the second, the accusers strove to justify	1.30.8 When several of them replied that they knew
that the accused would make his defense in some other	themselves by saying that a bishop under the jurisdiction	him well, Athanasius gave orders that he should be
way.	of Athanasius, named Plusian, had at the command of his	brought before them. Then he again asked them, "Is this
1.29.8 But Athanasius turned back the cloak of Arsenius	chief burnt the house of Arsenius, fastened him to a	the right Arsenius? Is this the man I murdered? Is this the
on one side and showed one of the man's hands. Again,	column, maltreated him with thongs, and then chained	man those people mutilated after his murder by cutting
while some were supposing that the other hand was	him in a cell. They further stated that Arsenius escaped	off his right hand?" When they had confessed that it was
missing, Athanasius permitted them to remain a short	from the cell through a window and, while he was sought	the same individual, Athanasius pulled off his cloak, and
time in doubt. After this he turned back the cloak on the	for, remained for a time in concealment. Because he did	exhibited two hands, both the right and the left, and said,
other side and exposed the other hand.	not appear, they naturally supposed him to be dead. The	"Let no one seek for a third hand, for man has received
1.29.9 Then addressing himself to those present, he	reputation he had acquired as a man and confessor had	two hands from the Creator and no more."
said, 'Arsenius, as you see, is found to have two hands:	endeared him to the bishops of John's party. They sought	
let my accusers show the place from where the third was	for him and applied on his behalf to the magistrates.	
cut off.'		
	asius' accusers are surprised and slip out in the t	urmoil
1.30 When the matter was brought to this state with		
regard to Arsenius, the authors of this plot were reduced		
to perplexity. Achab, who was also called John, one of the		
principal accusers, slipped out of court in the tumult and		
escaped. Thus Athanasius cleared himself from this		
charge without having recourse to any pleading. He was		
confident that the sight only of Arsenius alive would confound his accusers.		
Comound his accusers.	Sont 225 Athonoging floor to Constantinonla	
1211 Hamman when he are a C Court Color	Sept. 335 - Athanasius flees to Constantinople	T
1.31.1 However, when he was refuting the false charges		
against Macarius, he made use of legal forms. First of all		
he took issue with Eusebius and his party as his enemies, protesting against the injustice of any man's being tried		
by his adversaries. He next insisted that his accuser		
by his adversaries. The hext hisisted that his accuser		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
Ischyras prove that he had really obtained the dignity of presbyter, for so he had been labeled in the indictment. 1.31.2 But because the judges would not allow any of these objections, the case of Macarius was taken up. When the informers had little of proof, the hearing of the matter was postponed until some people could go into Mareotis, in order that all doubtful points might be examined on the spot. 1.31.3 When Athanasius saw that those very people were to be those whom he had discredited (for the persons sent were Theognis, Maris, Theodorus, Macedonius, Valens, and Ursacius), he exclaimed that their procedure was both treacherous and fraudulent. He said it was unjust that the presbyter Macarius should be detained in bonds while the accuser together with the judges who were his adversaries were permitted to go, in order that an ex parte collection of the facts in evidence might be made.' 1.31.4a When Athanasius made this protest before the whole Synod and Dionysius the governor of the province and saw that no one paid any attention to his appeal,	2.25.13 Athanasius was filled with apprehension when he reflected on these subjects and began to suspect that his enemies were secretly scheming to bring about his ruin. After several sessions, when the Synod was filled with tumult and confusion and the accusers and a multitude of persons around the tribunal were crying aloud that Athanasius ought to be deposed as a sorcerer and a ruffian, as being utterly unworthy of the priesthood, the officers, who had been appointed by the emperor to be present at the Synod for the maintenance of order, compelled the accused to quit the judgment hall secretly. For they were afraid that they might become his murderers, as is apt to be the case in the rush of a tumult.	1.30.9 Even after this plain proof the accusers and the judges who were privy to the crime, instead of hiding themselves or praying that the earth might open and swallow them up, raised an uproar and commotion in the assembly and declared that Athanasius was a sorcerer, that he had by his magical incantations bewitched the eyes of men.
1.31.4bhe privately withdrew. 1.31.5 Those, therefore, who were sent to Mareotis made an <i>ex parte</i> investigation, They held that what the accuser said was true.	2.25.14 On finding that he could not remain in Tyre without peril of his life and that there was no hope of obtaining justice against his numerous accusers, from judges who were unfavorable to him, he fled to Constantinople. Tasius is condemned and Arsenius is received into the could not remain in Tyre without period in the could not remain in Tyre without period not remain in Tyre without period in the could not remain in Tyre without period not remain in Tyre without peri	1.30.10 The very men who a moment before had accused him of murder now strove to tear him in pieces and to murder him. 1.30.11 But those whom the emperor had entrusted with the preservation of order saved the life of Athanasius by dragging him away and hurrying him on board a ship.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.32.1 Thus Athanasius departed, hastening to the emperor, and the Synod in the first place condemned him in his absence.	2.25.15 The Synod condemned him during his absence, deposed him from the bishopric, and prohibited his residing at Alexandria, so that, they said, he didn't excite disturbances and seditions. John and all his adherents were restored to communion, as if they had been unjustly suffering wrongs, and each was reinstated in his own clerical rank.	
1.32.2 And when the re-suit of the enquiry which had been instituted at Mareotis was presented, they voted to depose him. They loaded him with disgraceful names in their sentence of deposition, but were wholly silent respecting the disgraceful defeat of the charge of murder brought by his accusers. 1.32.3 Moreover, they received into communion Arsenius, who was reported to have been murdered. And he who had formerly been a bishop of the Meletian heresy subscribed to the deposition of Athanasius as bishop of the city of Hypselopolis. Thus, by an extraordinary course of circumstances, the alleged victim of assassination by Athanasius was found alive to assist in deposing him.		
	2.25.16 The bishops then gave an account of their proceedings to the emperor and wrote to the bishops of all regions, urging them not to receive Athanasius into fellowship and not to write to him or receive letters from him. For he had been convicted of the crimes which they had investigated and, on account of his flight, was also guilty of those charges which had not been tried. 2.25.17 They likewise declared in this epistle that they had been obliged to pass such condemnation upon him because, when commanded by the emperor the preceding year to travel to the bishops of the East, who were assembled at Caesarea, he disobeyed the injunction, kept the bishops waiting for him, and did not listen to the commands of the ruler. 2.25.18 They also declared that when the bishops had assembled at Tyre, he went to that city, attended by a large retinue, for the purpose of exciting disturbances and tumults in the Synod. And when there he sometimes refused to reply to the charges leveled against him. He	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	sometimes insulted the bishops individually when	
	summoned by them, sometimes not obeying, at others not	
	deigning to be judged.	
	2.25.19 They specified in the same letter that he was	
	manifestly guilty of breaking a mystical chalice. his fact	
	was attested by Theognis, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris,	
	bishop of Chalcedonia; by Theodore, bishop of Heraclea;	
	by Valentinus and Ursacius; and by Macedonius, who had	
	been sent to the village in Egypt, where the chalice was	
	said to have been broken, in order to ascertain the truth.	
	Thus did the bishops report successively each of the	
	allegations against Athanasius with the same art to which	
	sophists resort when they desire to heighten the effect of	
	their accusations.	
Many priests of	object to the wicked assembly at Tyre, including	two confessors
	2.25.20 Many of the priests, however, who were present	
	at the trial perceived the injustice of the accusation. It is	
	related that Paphnutius, the confessor, who was present at	
	the Synod, arose and took the hand of Maximus, the	
	bishop of Jerusalem, to lead him away, as if those who	
	were confessors and had their eyes dug out for the sake of	
	piety ought not to participate in an assembly of wicked	
	men.	
	shops are summoned to Jerusalem and the chur	
1.33.1a In the meantime letters were brought from the	2.26.1 The temple, called the "Great Martyrium," which	1.31.1 All the bishops who were present at the council
emperor directing those who made up the Synod to hasten	was built in the place of the skull at Jerusalem, was	of Tyre, with all others from every quarter, were
to the New Jerusalem. Therefore they immediately left	completed about the thirtieth year of the reign of	commanded by the emperor to proceed to Aelia to
Tyre and set forward with all haste to Jerusalem where,	Constantine. Marianus, an official who was a short-hand	consecrate the churches which he had there erected.
after celebrating a festival in connection with the	writer of the emperor, came to Tyre and delivered a letter	
consecration of the place,	from the emperor to the council, commanding them to	
	hasten quickly to Jerusalem, in order to consecrate the	
	temple.	
	2.26.2 Although this had been previously determined,	1.31.3 The holy altar was decorated with imperial
	the emperor deemed it necessary that the disputes which	hangings and with golden vessels set with gems. When
	prevailed among the bishops who had been convened at	the splendid festival was concluded, each bishop returned
	Tyre should be first addressed and that the bishops should	to his own diocese. The emperor was highly gratified
	be purged of all discord and grief before going to the	when informed of the splendor and magnificence of the

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	consecration of the temple. For it is fitting to such a	function and blessed the Author of all good for having
	festival for the priests to be like-minded.	thus granted his petition.
	2.26.3 When the bishops arrived at Jerusalem, the	
	temple was consecrated, Numerous ornaments and gifts,	
	which were sent by the emperor, are still preserved in the	
	sacred edifice. Their costliness and magnificence is such	
	that they cannot be looked upon without exciting wonder.	
	2.26.4 Since that period the anniversary of the	1.31.2 The emperor sent also a number of officials of
	consecration has been celebrated with great pomp by the	the kindliest disposition, remarkable for piety and
	church of Jerusalem; the festival continues eight days.	fidelity, whom he ordered to furnish abundant supplies of
	Initiation by baptism was administered, and people from	provisions, not only to the bishops and their followers,
	every region under the sun traveled to Jerusalem during	but to the vast multitudes who flocked from all parts to
	this festival and visited the sacred places.	Jerusalem.
Ariu	s and Euzoius received into communion at Jerus	alem
	2.27.1 The bishops who had embraced the sentiments of	
	Arius found a favorable opportunity of restoring him and	
	Euzoius to communion by zealously striving to have a	
	council in the city of Jerusalem. They effected their design	
	in the following manner	
1.33.1b they readmitted Arius and his adherents into	2.27.12 The emperor imagined that Arius and Euzoius	
communion. This was done in obedience, as they said, to	were of the same belief as the bishops of the council of	
the wishes of the emperor, who had signified in his	Nicaea and was delighted over the affair [their letter of	
communication to them that he was fully satisfied	recantation from several years prior]. He did not,	
respecting the faith of Arius and Euzoïus.	however, attempt to restore them to communion without	
	the judgment and approval of those who are, by the law of	
	the Church, masters in these matters.	
	2.27.13 He, therefore, sent them to the bishops who	
	were then assembled at Jerusalem and wrote, desiring	
	them to examine the declaration of faith submitted by	
	Arius and Euzoius. He did this so the Synod would find	
	out whether they found that their doctrine was orthodox	
	and that the jealousy of their enemies had been the sole	
	cause of their condemnation, or that without having	
	reason to blame those who had condemned them they had	
	changed their minds, A humane decision might, in either	
	case, be accorded them.	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.33.2 They moreover wrote to the church at Alexandria	2.27.14 Those who had long been zealous for this seized	
and stated that because all envy was now banished, the	the opportunity under cover of the emperor's letter and	
affairs of the church were established in peace. They also	received him into fellowship. They wrote immediately to	
stated that since Arius had acknowledged the truth by his	the emperor himself, to the Church of Alexandria, and to	
recantation and was therefore a member of the church, he	the bishops and clergy of Egypt, of Thebes, and of Libya,	
should also be therefore received by them, alluding to the	earnestly exhorting them to receive Arius and Euzoius	
banishment of Athanasius [in their statement that 'all	into communion, since the emperor bore witness to the	
envy was now banished']. At the same time they sent	correctness of their faith in one of his own epistles, and	
information of what had been done to the emperor, in	since the judgment of the emperor had been confirmed by	
terms nearly to the same effect.	the vote of the Synod. These were the subjects which	
	were zealously discussed by the Synod of Jerusalem.	
\mathbf{A}	sham fact-finding committee is sent to Alexandr	ia
		1.30.11b When he [Athanasius] appeared before the
		emperor, he described all the dramatic plots which were
		intended to ruin him. The accusers sent bishops
		connected to their faction into Mareotis, viz., Theognis,
		bishop of Nicaea, Theodorus, bishop of Perinthus, Maris,
		bishop of Chalcedon, Narcissus of Cilicia, with others of
		the same sentiments.
		1.30.12 Mareotis is a district near Alexandria and
		derives its name from the lake Maria. Here they invented
		other falsehoods and, forging the reports of the trial,
		mixed up the charges which had been shown to be false
		with fresh accusations, as if they had been true, and sent
		them to the emperor.
6 Nov. 335 – Constantine sum	mons bishops from Jerusalem to Constantinople	to reexamine Council of Tyre
1.33.3 But while the bishops were engaged in this affair,	2.28.1 Athanasius, after he fled from Tyre, traveled to	1.31.4 Because Athanasius complained of his unjust
other letters came unexpectedly from the emperor, stating	Constantinople. When he came to the emperor	condemnation, the emperor commanded the bishops
that Athanasius had fled to him for protection and that it	Constantine, he complained of what he had suffered, in	against whom this complaint was directed to present
was necessary for them on his account to come to	presence of the bishops who had condemned him, and	themselves at court.
Constantinople. This unanticipated communication from	implored him to permit the decrees of the council of Tyre	
the emperor was as follows.	to be submitted for examination before the emperor.	
-	Constantine regarded this request as reasonable and wrote	
	in the following terms to the bishops assembled at Tyre:	
	Constantine's letter to the bishops at Tyre	
1.34.1 Victor Constantine Maximus Augustus, to the	2.28.2 I am indeed ignorant of the decisions which have	
bishops convene at Tyre.	been made by your Council with so much turbulence and	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
I am indeed ignorant of the decisions which have been	storm: but the truth seems to have been perverted by some	
made by your Council with so much turbulence and	tumultuous and disorderly proceedings: because, that is to	
storm: but the truth seems to have been perverted by some	say, in your mutual love of contention, which you seem	
tumultuous and disorderly proceedings: because, that is to	desirous of perpetuating, you disregard the consideration	
say, in your mutual love of contention, which you seem	of those things which are acceptable to God.	
desirous of perpetuating, you disregard the consideration	2.28.3 It will, however, I trust, be the work of Divine	
of those things which are acceptable to God.	Providence to dissipate the mischiefs resulting from this	
1.34.2 It will, however, I trust, be the work of Divine	jealous rivalry, as soon as they shall have been detected;	
Providence to dissipate the mischiefs resulting from this	and to make it apparent to us, whether ye who have been	
jealous rivalry, as soon as they shall have been detected;	convened have had regard to truth, and whether your	
and to make it apparent to us, whether ye who have been	decisions on the subjects which have been submitted to	
convened have had regard to truth, and whether your	your judgment have been made apart from partiality or	
decisions on the subjects which have been submitted to	prejudice.	
your judgment have been made apart from partiality or	2.28.4 Wherefore it is indispensable that you should all	
prejudice.	without delay attend upon my piety, that you may	
1.34.3 Wherefore it is indispensable that you should all	yourselves give a strict account of your transactions.	
without delay attend upon my piety, that you may	2.28.5 For what reason I have deemed it proper to write	
yourselves give a strict account of your transactions.	thus, and to summon you before me, you will learn from	
1.34.4 For what reason I have deemed it proper to write	what follows. As I was making my entry into the city	
thus, and to summon you before me, you will learn from	which bears our name, in this our most flourishing home,	
what follows.	Constantinople,—and it happened that I was riding on	
1.34.5 As I was making my entry into the city which	horseback at the time,—suddenly the Bishop Athanasius,	
bears our name, in this our most flourishing home,	with certain ecclesiastics whom he had around him,	
Constantinople,—and it happened that I was riding on	presented himself so unexpectedly in our path, as to	
horseback at the time,—suddenly the Bishop Athanasius,	produce an occasion of consternation.	
with certain ecclesiastics whom he had around him,	2.28.6 For the Omniscient God is my witness that at first	
presented himself so unexpectedly in our path, as to	sight I did not recognize him until some of my attendants,	
produce an occasion of consternation.	in answer to my enquiry, informed me, as was very	
1.34.6 For the Omniscient God is my witness that at	natural, both who he was, and what injustice he had	
first sight I did not recognize him until some of my	suffered.	
attendants, in answer to my enquiry, informed me, as was	2.28.7 At that time indeed I neither conversed, nor held	
very natural, both who he was, and what injustice he had	any communication with him. But as he repeatedly	
suffered.	entreated an audience, and I had not only refused it, but	
1.34.7 At that time indeed I neither conversed, nor held	almost ordered that he should be removed from my	
any communication with him. But as he repeatedly	presence, he said with greater boldness, that he petitioned	
entreated an audience, and I had not only refused it, but	for nothing more than that you might be summoned	
almost ordered that he should be removed from my	hither, in order that in our presence, he, driven by	
presence, he said with greater boldness, that he petitioned	necessity to such a course, might have a fair opportunity	
for nothing more than that you might be summoned	afforded him of complaining of his wrongs.	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
hither, in order that in our presence, he, driven by	2.28.8 Wherefore as this seems reasonable, and	
necessity to such a course, might have a fair opportunity	consistent with the equity of my government, I willingly	
afforded him of complaining of his wrongs.	gave instructions that these things should be written to	
1.34.8 Wherefore as this seems reasonable, and	you. My command therefore is, that all, as many as	
consistent with the equity of my government, I willingly	composed the Synod convened at Tyre, should forthwith	
gave instructions that these things should be written to	hasten to the court of our clemency, in order that from the	
you. My command therefore is, that all, as many as	facts themselves you may make clear the purity and	
composed the Synod convened at Tyre, should forthwith	integrity of your decision in my presence, whom you	
hasten to the court of our clemency, in order that from the	cannot but own to be a true servant of God.	
facts themselves you may make clear the purity and	2.28.9 It is in consequence of the acts of my religious	
integrity of your decision in my presence, whom you	service towards God that peace is everywhere reigning;	
cannot but own to be a true servant of God.	and that the name of God is sincerely had in reverence	
1.34.9 It is in consequence of the acts of my religious	even among the barbarians themselves, who until now	
service towards God that peace is everywhere reigning;	were ignorant of the truth. Now it is evident that he who	
and that the name of God is sincerely had in reverence	knows not the truth, does not have a true knowledge of	
even among the barbarians themselves, who until now	God also:	
were ignorant of the truth. Now it is evident that he who	2.28.10 yet, as I before said even the barbarians on my	
knows not the truth, does not have a true knowledge of	account, who am a genuine servant of God, have	
God also:	acknowledged and learned to worship him, whom they	
1.34.10 yet, as I before said even the barbarians on my	have perceived in very deed protecting and caring for me	
account, who am a genuine servant of God, have	everywhere. So that from dread of us chiefly, they have	
acknowledged and learned to worship him, whom they	been thus brought to the knowledge of the true God whom	
have perceived in very deed protecting and caring for me	they now worship.	
everywhere. So that from dread of us chiefly, they have	2.28.11 Nevertheless we who pretend to have a religious	
been thus brought to the knowledge of the true God	veneration for (I will not say who guard) the holy	
whom they now worship.	mysteries of his church, we, I say, do nothing but what	
1.34.11 Nevertheless we who pretend to have a	tends to discord and animosity, and to speak plainly, to	
religious veneration for (I will not say who guard) the	the destruction of the human race.	
holy mysteries of his church, we, I say, do nothing but	2.28.12 But hasten, as I have already said, all of you to	
what tends to discord and animosity, and to speak plainly,	us as speedily as possible: and be assured that I shall	
to the destruction of the human race.	endeavor with all my power to cause that what is	
1.34.12 But hasten, as I have already said, all of you to	contained in the Divine Law may be preserved inviolate,	
us as speedily as possible: and be assured that I shall	on which neither stigma nor reproach shall be able to	
endeavor with all my power to cause that what is	fasten itself; and this will come to pass when its enemies,	
contained in the Divine Law may be preserved inviolate,	who under cover of the sacred profession introduce	
on which neither stigma nor reproach shall be able to	numerous and diversified blasphemies, are dispersed,	
fasten itself; and this will come to pass when its enemies,	broken to pieces, and altogether annihilated.	
who under cover of the sacred profession introduce		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
numerous and diversified blasphemies, are dispersed, broken to pieces, and altogether annihilated. ²		
7 Nov. 335 – Athana	sius is accused of threatening the grain supply an	d is banished to Gaul
1.35.1 This letter rendered those who attended the Synod very fearful. Therefore most of them returned to their respective cities.	2.28.13a This letter of the emperor so excited the fears of some of the bishops that they set off on their journey homewards.	
1.35.2a But Eusebius, Theognis, Maris, Patrophilus, Ursacius, and Valens, having gone to Constantinople, would not permit any further enquiry to be instituted concerning the broken cup, the overturned communion table, and the murder of Arsenius.	2.28.13b But Eusebius, bishop of Nicomedia, and his partisans went to the emperor and claimed that the Synod of Tyre had enacted no decrees against Athanasius but what were founded on justice. They brought forward as witnesses Theognis, Maris, Theodore, Valens, and Ursacius, and deposed that he had broken the mystical cup and, after uttering many other charges, they prevailed with their accusations.	1.31.5a Upon their arrival they stopped pushing any of their former accusations, because they knew how clearly they could be refuted.
1.35.2b But they tried another accusation, informing the emperor that Athanasius had threatened to prohibit the sending of corn which was usually conveyed from Alexandria to Constantinople. They affirmed also that these menaces were heard from the lips of Athanasius by the bishops Adamantius, Anubion, Arbathion and Peter, for slander is most prevalent when of the assertor of it appears to be a person worthy of credit.		1.31.5b But they made it appear that Athanasius had threatened to prevent the exportation of corn. The emperor believed what they said and banished him to a city of Gaul called Treves. This occurred in the thirtieth year of the emperor's reign.
1.35.3 The emperor was deceived and moved to indignation against Athanasius by this charge. He at once condemned him to exile, ordering him to reside in the Gauls.	2.28.14 The emperor, either believing their statements to be true, or imagining that unity would be restored among the bishops if Athanasius were removed, exiled him to Treves, in Western Gaul; and to there, therefore, he was conducted.	
1.35.4 Now some declare that the emperor came to this decision with a view to the establishment of unity in the church, since Athanasius was immoveable in his refusal to hold any communion with Arius and his adherents. He accordingly took up his abode at Treves, a city of Gaul.	Early 336 - Alexandria still refuses to admit Ariu	

² Socrates 1.34.1-12 and Sozomen 2.28.2-12 are almost identical Greek, cf. http://www.fourthcentury.com/constantine-to-the-bishops-at-tyre/.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.37.1 While these things were taking place, the	2.29.1a After the Synod of Jerusalem, Arius went to	
thirtieth year of Constantine's reign was completed. Arius	Egypt. But because he could not obtain permission to hold	
with his adherents returned to Alexandria and again	communion with the Church of Alexandria, he returned to	
disturbed the whole city. The people of Alexandria were	Constantinople.	
exceedingly indignant both at the restoration of this	-	
irredeemable heretic with his supporters and also because		
their bishop Athanasius had been sent to exile.		
1.37.2 When the emperor was informed of the perverse		
disposition of Arius, he once more ordered him to come		
to Constantinople to give an account of the commotions		
he had afresh endeavored to excite.		
Summer 336 – Eusebians arrange fo	r Arius to be communed in Constantinople, oppo	osed by Alexander of Constantinople
1.37.3 It happened at that time that Alexander, who had	2.29.1b Because all those who had embraced his	
some time before succeeded Metrophanes, presided over	sentiments and those who were attached to Eusebius,	
the church at Constantinople.	bishop of Nicomedia, had assembled cunningly in that	
	city for the purpose of holding a council, Alexander, who	
	was then ordering the see of Constantinople, used every	
	effort to dissolve the council.	
1.37.4 This bishop was a man of devoted piety as was	2.29.2 But when his endeavors were frustrated, he	
distinctly made evident by the conflict he entered into	openly refused all communion with Arius, affirming that	
with Arius. When Arius arrived, the people were divided	it was neither just nor according to ecclesiastical canons to	
into two factions and the whole city was thrown into	make powerless their own voice and that of those bishops	
confusion, some insisting that the Nicene Creed should be	who had been assembled at Nicaea, from nearly every	
by no means infringed on, while others contended that the	region under the sun. When the partisans of Eusebius	
opinion of Arius was in agreement with reason. In this	perceived that their arguments produced no effect on	
state of affairs, Alexander was driven to straits; more	Alexander, they resorted to abuse and threatened that	
especially since Eusebius of Nicomedia had violently	unless he would receive Arius into communion on a stated	
threatened that he would cause him to be immediately	day, he should be expelled from the church, and that	
deposed unless he admitted Arius and his followers to	another should be elected in his place who would be	
communion.	willing to hold communion with Arius.	
Alov	 ander of Constantinople prays for divine interve	ntion
1.37.5 Alexander, however, was far less troubled at the	ander of Constandiopie prays for divine intervel	
thought of his own deposition as he was fearful of the		
subversion of the principles of the faith, which they were		
so anxious to effect. Regarding himself as the established		
guardian of the doctrines recognized and the decisions		
guardian of the documes recognized and the decisions		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
made by the council at Nicaea, he exerted himself to the		
utmost to prevent their being violated or defiled.		
1.37.6 Reduced to this extremity, he bade farewell to all	2.29.3 The partisan of Eusebous then separated to await	
logical resources and made God his refuge, devoting	the time they had fixed for carrying their menaces into	
himself to continued fasting and never ceased from	execution. Alexander went to pray that the words of	
praying.	Eusebius might be prevented from being carried into deed.	
1.37.7 Communicating his purpose to no one, he shut	His chief source of fear arose from the fact that the	
himself up alone in the church called Irene. Going up to	emperor had been persuaded to give way. On the day	
the altar and prostrating himself on the ground beneath	before the appointed day he prostrated himself before the	
the holy communion table, he poured forth his fervent prayers weeping; and this he ceased not to do for many	altar, and continued all the night in prayer to God, that his enemies might be prevented from carrying their schemes	
successive nights and days.	into execution against him.	
successive nights and days.	into execution against inin.	
1.37.8 What he thus earnestly asked from God, he		
received, for his petition was such a one:		
1.37.9 'If the opinion of Arius were correct, he might		
not be permitted to see the day appointed for its		
discussion; but that if he himself held the true faith, Arius,		
as the author of all these evils, might suffer the		
punishment due to his impiety.'		
	lus of Ancyra is also deposed because he opposed	Asterius the Sophist
1.36.1 The bishops assembled at Constantinople	2.33.1 At the same time Marcellus, bishop of Ancyra in	
deposed also Marcellus bishop of Ancyra, a city of	Galatia, was deposed and cast out of the Church by the	
Galatia Minor, on this account:	bishops who were assembled at Constantinople. He had	
	introduced some new doctrines, teaching that the Son of	
	God began to exist when He was born of Mary and that His kingdom would have an end. He drew up a written	
	document explaining these things. So Basil, a very learned	
	and eloquent man, was entrusted with the bishopric of the	
	parish of Galatia. The bishops also wrote to the churches	
	in the nearby regions, asking them to search for copies of	
	the book written by Marcellus, to destroy them, and to	
	guide back those who had embraced his views, if any	
	could be found.	
	2.33.2 They explained that the writing was so lengthy	
	that they could not put all of it in their letter, but they did	
	quote certain passages from it to show that the doctrines	
	they had condemned were actually presented there. Some	

people, however, claimed that Marcellus had merely presented a few questions which had been misconstrued by the followers of Eusebius and presented to the emperor as actual confessions. Eusebius and his party were very irritated with Marcellus, because he had not agreed with the definitions presented by the Synod in Phoenicia or with the regulations which had been made in favor of Arius at Jerusalem. He had also refused to attend the consecration of the Great Martyrium, to avoid being in communion with them. 2.33.3 In their letter to the emperor they focused on this	
by the followers of Eusebius and presented to the emperor as actual confessions. Eusebius and his party were very irritated with Marcellus, because he had not agreed with the definitions presented by the Synod in Phoenicia or with the regulations which had been made in favor of Arius at Jerusalem. He had also refused to attend the consecration of the Great Martyrium, to avoid being in communion with them.	
as actual confessions. Eusebius and his party were very irritated with Marcellus, because he had not agreed with the definitions presented by the Synod in Phoenicia or with the regulations which had been made in favor of Arius at Jerusalem. He had also refused to attend the consecration of the Great Martyrium, to avoid being in communion with them.	
irritated with Marcellus, because he had not agreed with the definitions presented by the Synod in Phoenicia or with the regulations which had been made in favor of Arius at Jerusalem. He had also refused to attend the consecration of the Great Martyrium, to avoid being in communion with them.	
the definitions presented by the Synod in Phoenicia or with the regulations which had been made in favor of Arius at Jerusalem. He had also refused to attend the consecration of the Great Martyrium, to avoid being in communion with them.	
with the regulations which had been made in favor of Arius at Jerusalem. He had also refused to attend the consecration of the Great Martyrium, to avoid being in communion with them.	
Arius at Jerusalem. He had also refused to attend the consecration of the Great Martyrium, to avoid being in communion with them.	
consecration of the Great Martyrium, to avoid being in communion with them.	
communion with them.	
2.33.3 In their letter to the emperor they focused on this	
incident and brought it forward as an accusation. They	
claimed it was a personal insult to him to refuse to attend	
the consecration of the temple which he had constructed at	
Jerusalem.	
1.36.2 A certain rhetorician of Cappadocia named 2.33.4 The reason Marcellus wrote this document was a	
Asterius, abandoning his art and professing himself a certain Asterius, a sophist from Cappadocia. Asterius had	
convert to Christianity, undertook the composition of written a treatise defending Arian doctrines and had it	
some treatises, which are still extant, in which he read in various cities, to bishops, and at several synods	
commended the dogmas of Arius, He asserted that Christ which Marcellus had attended. But when speaking against	
is the power of God in the same sense as the locust and his arguments Marcellus, either deliberately or	
the palmer-worm are said by Moses to be the power of unintentionally, fell into the opinions of Paul of Samosata.	
God, and with other similar utterances. Later, however, he proved that he did not hold those	
beliefs, and the Synod of Sardis reinstated him as bishop.	
1.36.5 When the bishops then convened at Jerusalem	
had knowledge of these things, they took no notice of	
Asterius, because he was not enrolled even in the	
catalogue of ordained priests. But they insisted that	
Marcellus, as a priest, should give an account of the book	
which he had written.	
1.36.6 Finding that he entertained Paul of Samosata's	
sentiments, they required him to retract his opinion. He	
was thoroughly ashamed of himself and promised to burn	
his book.	
1.36.7 But because the convention of bishops was	
hastily dissolved by the emperor's summon to	
Constantinople, the Eusebians on their arrival at that city	
again took the case of Marcellus into consideration,	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.36.8 When Marcellus refused to fulfil his promise of	-	***
burning his untimely book, those present deposed him and		
sent Basil into Ancyra in his stead.		
1.36.9 Moreover Eusebius wrote a refutation of this		
work in three books, in which he exposed its erroneous		
doctrine. Marcellus however was afterwards reinstated in		
his bishopric by the Synod at Sardica, on his assurance		
that his book had been misunderstood and on that account		
he was thought to favor the Samosatene's views. But of		
this we shall speak more fully in its proper place.		
	Constantine examines Arius in Constantinople	
1.38.1 Such was the supplication of Alexander.	•	
Meanwhile the emperor desired to personally examine		
Arius and sent for him to the palace. He asked him		
whether he would consent to the decisions of the Synod at		
Nicaea.		
1.38.2 He, without hesitation, replied in the affirmative		
and subscribed the declaration of the faith in the		
emperor's presence, acting with dishonesty.		
1.38.3 The emperor, surprised at his ready compliance,		
obliged him to confirm his signature by an oath. This also		
he did with equal concealment. The way he evaded, as I		
have heard, was this:		
1.38.4 He wrote his own opinion on paper and carried it		
under his arm, so that he then swore truly that he really		
held the sentiments he had written. That this is so,		
however, I have written from hearsay. But the fact that he		
added an oath to his subscription I have myself		
ascertained from an examination of the emperor's own		
letters.		
1.38.5 The emperor was thus convinced and ordered		
that he should be received into communion by Alexander,		
bishop of Constantinople.		
	336 - The death of Arius	
1.38.6 It was then Saturday, and Arius was expecting to		
assemble with the church on the following day following.		
However, divine retribution overtook his daring atrocities.		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.38.7 For going out of the imperial palace, attended by a crowd of Eusebian supporters like guards, he paraded proudly through the midst of the city, attracting the notice of all the people. As he approached the place called Constantine's Forum, where the column of Porphyry is erected, a terror arose from the remorse of conscience and seized Arius. With the terror of a violent relaxation of the bowels, he enquired whether there was a convenient place near, was directed to the back of Constantine's Forum, and hastened there. 1.38.8 Soon after a faintness came over him, and together with the evacuations his bowels protruded, followed by a copious hemorrhage and the descent of the smaller intestines, moreover portions of his spleen and liver were brought off in the effusion of blood, he almost immediately died.	2.29.4 Late in the afternoon Arius, being seized suddenly with pain in the stomach, was compelled to repair to the public place set apart for emergencies of this nature. As some time passed away without his coming out, some persons, who were waiting for him outside, entered and found him dead and still sitting upon the seat.	
1.38.9 The scene of this catastrophe still is shown at Constantinople, as I have said, behind the shambles in the colonnade. Because people continually go bye and point the finger at the place, there is a perpetual remembrance preserved of this extraordinary kind of death. 1.38.10 So disastrous an occurrence filled the party of Eusebius, bishop of Nicomedia, with dread and alarm. The report of it quickly spread itself over the city and throughout the whole world. 1.38.11 As the king grew more earnest in Christianity and confessed that the confession at Nicaea was attested by God, he rejoiced at the occurrences.	2.29.5 When his death became known, all people did not view the occurrence under the same aspect. Some believed that he died at that very hour, seized by a sudden disease of the heart, or suffering weakness from his joy over the fact that his matters were falling out according to his mind. Others imagined that this mode of death was inflicted on him in judgment, on account of his impiety. Those who held his sentiments were of opinion that his death was brought about by magical arts.	
	Athanasius' account of the death of Arius	1
	2.29.5b It will not be out of place to quote what Athanasius, bishop of Alexandria, stated on the subject. The following is his narrative:	1.14.2b The intrigues upon which he then entered and their punishment by the righteous Judge are all best narrated by the excellent Athanasius in his letter to Apion. I shall therefore now insert this passage in my work. He writes:

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	2.30.3 With all men the common end of life is death.	
	We must not blame a man, even if he be an enemy, merely	
	because he died, for it is uncertain whether we shall live to	
	the evening. But the end of Arius was so singular that it	
	seems worthy of some remark.	
		1.14.3a I was not at Constantinople when he died. But Macarius, the presbyter, was there, and from him I learned all the circumstances. The emperor Constantine
		was persuaed by Eusebius and his party to send for Arius.
	2.30.1 Arius, the author of the heresy and the associate of Eusebius, when he had been summoned before the most blessed Constantine Augustus at the pleading of the partisans of Eusebius, was asked to give in writing an exposition of his faith. He drew up this document with great artfulness and, like the devil, concealed his impious assertions beneath the simple words of Scripture.	1.14.3b Upon his arrival the emperor asked him whether he held the faith of the Catholic church. Arius then swore that his faith was orthodox and presented a written summary of his belief. He was concealing, however, the reasons of his ejection from the Church by the bishop Alexander and making a dishonest use of the language of Holy Scripture.
	2.30.2a The most blessed Constantine said to him, 'If you have no other points in mind than these, render testimony to the truth; for if you perjure yourself, the Lord will punish you.' And the wretched man swore that he neither held nor conceived any sentiments except those now specified in the document, even if he had ever affirmed otherwise.	1.14.4 When, therefore, he had declared upon oath that he did not hold the errors for which he had been expelled from the Church by Alexander, Constantine dismissed him, saying, 'If your faith is orthodox, you have well sworn; but if your faith is impious and yet you have sworn, let God from heaven judge you.' When he left the presence of the emperor, the partisans of Eusebius, with their usual violence, desired to conduct him into the church.
		1.14.5 But Alexander, of blessed memory, bishop of Constantinople, refused his permission, alleging that the inventor of the heresy ought not to be admitted into communion. Then at last the partisans of Eusebius pronounced the threat: 'As, against your will, we succeeded in persuading the emperor to send for Arius, so now, even if you forbid it, shall Arius join in communion with us in this church to-morrow.' It was on Saturday that they said this. 1.14.6 The bishop Alexander, deeply grieved at what he had heard, went into the church and poured forth his lamentations, raising his hands in supplication to God, and throwing himself on his face on the pavement in the

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		sanctuary, prayed. Macarius went in with him, prayed with him, and heard his prayers. 1.14.7 He asked one of two things. 'If Arius,' said he, 'is to be joined to the Church to-morrow, let me your servant depart and do not destroy the pious with the impious. If you will spare Church, and I know that you do spare her, look upon the words of the followers of Eusebius, and do not give your heritage over to destruction and to shame. Remove Arius, lest if he come into the Church, heresy seem to come in with him, and impiety be hereafter deemed piety.' Having thus prayed, the bishop left the church deeply anxious, and then a horrible and extraordinary catastrophe ensued.
	2.30.4 The partisans of Eusebius threatened to reinstate him in the church, and Alexander, bishop of Constantinople, opposed their intention. Arius placed his confidence in the power and menaces of Eusebius; for it was the Sabbath, and he expected the next day to be readmitted. The dispute ran high; the partisans of Eusebius were loud in their menaces, while Alexander took refuge in prayer. The Lord was the judge and declared himself against the unjust.	1.14.8a The followers of Eusebius had launched out into threats, while the bishop took refuge in prayer. Arius, emboldened by the protection of his party, delivered many trifling and foolish speeches.
	 2.30.4b A little before sunset Arius was compelled by a want of nature to enter the place appointed for such emergencies, and here he lost at once both restoration to communion and his life. 2.30.2b Aoon after he went out, and judgment was visited upon him; for he bent forwards and burst in the middle. 	1.14.8b But he was suddenly compelled by a call of nature to retire and immediately, as it is written, 'falling headlong, he burst asunder in the midst,' and gave up the ghost, being deprived at once both of communion and of life.
	2.30.5 The most blessed Constantine was amazed when he heard of this occurrence and regarded it as the proof of falsehood. It then became evident to everyone that the menaces of Eusebius were absolutely futile and that the hopes of Arius were vain. It also became manifest that the Arian madness could not be fellowshipped by the Savior both here and in the church of the Firstborn. Is it not then astonishing that some are still found who seek to absolve him whom the Lord condemned and to defend that heresy which the Lord proved to be unworthy of fellowship, by	1.14.9 This, then, was the end of Arius. The followers of Eusebius were covered with shame, and buried him whose belief they shared. The blessed Alexander completed the celebration, rejoicing with the Church in piety and orthodoxy, praying with all the brethren and greatly glorifying God. This was not because he rejoiced at the death of Arius—God forbid, for 'it is appointed unto all men once to die,' but because the event plainly transcended any human condemnation.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	not permitting its author to enter the church? We have	
	been duly informed that this was the mode of the death of	
	Arius.'	
		1.14.10 For the Lord Himself passed judgment upon the
		menaces of the followers of Eusebius and the prayer of
		Alexander, condemned the Arian heresy, and showed that
		it was unworthy of being received into the communion of
		the Church. Thus the Lord made known to all that, even
		if it received the approval and support of the emperor and
		of all men, yet by truth itself it stood condemned.
		1.14.11 These were the first fruits, reaped by Arius, of
		those devastating seeds which he had himself sown. They
		formed the prelude to the punishments that awaited him
		hereafter. His impiety was condemned by his
		punishment.
	The fate of the spot where Arius died	
	2.30.6 It is said that for a long period subsequently no	
	one would make use of the seat on which he died. Those	
	who were compelled by necessities of nature, as is usually	
	the case in a crowd, to visit the public place, spoke to one	
	another when they entered to avoid the seat. And the place	
	was shunned afterwards, because Arius had there received	
	the punishment of his impiety.	
	2.30.7 At a later time a certain rich and powerful man,	
	who had embraced the Arian tenets, bought the place of	
	the public and built a house on the spot, in order that the	
	occurrence might fall into oblivion and that there might be	
	no perpetual memorial of the death of Arius.	
Alexandria is div	ided; many letters are written to Constantine to	recall Athanasius
	2.31.1 The death of Arius did not terminate the doctrinal	
	dispute which he had originated. Those who adhered to	
	his sentiments did not cease from plotting against those	
	who maintained opposite opinions.	
	2.31.2a The people of Alexandria loudly complained of	
	the exile of Athanasius and offered up supplications for	
	his return. Antony, the celebrated monk, wrote frequently	
	to the emperor to entreat him to attach no credit to the	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	accusations of the Meletians, but to reject their	
	accusations as falsehood.	
Constantine refuses to recall Athanasius		
	2.31.2b Yet the emperor was not convinced by these	
	arguments and wrote to the Alexandrians, accusing them	
	of folly and of disorderly conduct. He commanded the	
	clergy and the holy virgins to remain quiet and declared	
	that he would not change his mind nor recall Athanasius	
	whom, he said, he regarded as an exciter of rebellion,	
	justly condemned by the judgment of the Church.	
	2.31.3 He replied to Antony by stating that he ought not	
	to overlook the decree of the Synod; for even if some few	
	of the bishops, he said, were influenced by ill-will or the	
	desire to oblige others, it scarcely seems credible that so	
	many prudent and excellent bishops could have been impelled by such motives. And, he added, Athanasius was	
	brazen and arrogant, the cause of dissension and rebellion.	
	The enemies of Athanasius accused him all the more	
	especially of these crimes, because they knew that the	
	emperor regarded them with peculiar aversion.	
	emperor regarded them with pecuniar diversion.	
	Constantine banishes John from Alexandria	
	2.31.4 When he found out that the church had split into	
	two groups, between those who admired Athanasius and	
	those who admired John, he was furious and exiled John.	
	John was the one who succeeded Miletius. He had been	
	restored to communion in the church and given back his	
	clerical duties—both he and those with the same views—	
	by the synod of Tyre.	
	2.31.5 His banishment went against the wishes of	
	Athanasius' enemies, but it happened anyway. The	
	decisions of those who had gathered in Tyre did nothing	
	to help him. For the emperor was past the point of	
	listening to supplications or excuses of any kind on behalf	
	of someone who was suspected of inciting the Christian	
	people to rise up or protest.	
c. 337-338 - Constantine orders Athanasius's return		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		1.32.2 He ordered that the great Athanasius should
		return to Alexandria and expressed this decision in the
		presence of Eusebius, who did all he could to dissuade
		him. ³
	Constantine had been deceived by Arians	
		1.33.1 It should not be surprising that Constantine was
		so far deceived as to send so many great men into exile,
		for he believed the assertions of bishops of high fame and
		reputation, who skillfully concealed their malice. Those
		who are acquainted with the Sacred Scriptures know that
		the holy David, although he was a prophet, was deceived.
		1.33.2 And that too not by a priest, but by one who was
		a menial, a slave, and a rascal. I mean Ziba, who deluded
		the king by lies against Mephibosheth and thus obtained
		his land.
		1.33.3 It is not to condemn the prophet that I thus
		speak, but that I may defend the emperor by showing the
		weakness of human nature, to teach that credit should not
		be given only to those who advance accusations, even
		though they may appear worthy of credit. but that the
		other party ought also to be heard, and that one ear should
——————————————————————————————————————		be left open to the accused.
Eusebius of Ni	comedia and Theognis renew controversies about	the Nicene Creed
	2.32.7 About this time those on the side of Eusebius,	
	bishop of Nicomedia, and of Theognis, bishop of Nicaea,	
	began to change how they would write the confession	
	presented by the Nicaean Council. They did not dare to	
	openly reject that the Son is consubstantial with the	
	Father, because they knew the emperor held to that belief.	
	2.32.8 However, they presented another document,	
	claiming that they had received the terms of the Nicaean	
	doctrine with certain explanations. Their written	
	interpretation caused the old debate to come under	
	discussion again, and what seemed to have been put to	
	rest was set in motion again.	

³ It appears Athanasius returned from his first exile after Constantine had died and his son Constntius started ruling.